

| State Bar Court of California Hearing Department 🖾 Los Angeles 🛛 San Francisco | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Counsel for the State Bar State Bar of California Office of Chief Trial Counsel - Enforcement Erin McKeown Joyce 1149 S. Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015 Bar # 149946 (213)765-1000 Counsel for Respondent Market In Pro Per, Respondent Donnalee H. Huffman 1603 California Ave., #119 Bakersfield, CA 93304 Bar # 60021 | Case number(s) 05-0-01809 PUBLIC MATTEI | (for Court's use) FILED NOV 1 5 2005 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE VOS ANIGELES | | |
| Bor # 60021 | Submitted to 🖾 assigned judge | settlement judge | | |
| In the Matter of Donnnalee H. Huffman Bar # 60021 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent) | STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLU DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPRO STAYED SUSPENSION; NO AC | DVING | | |

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted ______ June 18, 1974_____
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation, and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation and order consist of 11 pages.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts, are also included under "Conclusions of Law."
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.

(Form adopted by the SBC Executive Committee (Rev. 5/5/05)

ORIGINAL

- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs-Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
 - (a) a costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline
 - (b) 🖾 costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: 2007 and 2008

(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 282, Rules of Procedure)

- (c) Costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"
- (d)
 costs entirely waived
- B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.
- (1) X Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]
 - (a) 🛛 State Bar Court case # of prior case <u>03-0-05115</u>*
 - (b) 🛛 Date prior discipline effective March 19, 2005
 - (c) Z Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: Rule of Professional

Conduct 3-110(A) and Business and Professions Code Section 6106

- (d) IX Degree of prior discipline 2 year suspension stayed, 2 year probation, no actual suspension
- (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline".
- * The prior imposition of discipline should not be considered as a true "prior" since the misconduct in the current matter predates the misconduct in 03-0-05115.

(2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.

(3) Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for Improper conduct toward said funds or property.

- (4) 🔲 Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.

- (6) Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (8) D No aggravating circumstances are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

- C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.
- (1) IN No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) I No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) A Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.

- (6) Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) E Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.



- (10) Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (11) Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) C Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13)
 No mitigating circumstances are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

D. Discipline

- 1. 🛛 Stayed Suspension.
 - (a) Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of <u>One (1) year</u>
 - i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present filness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
 - II. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this Slipulation.

iii. 🛛 and until Respondent does the following: _____

The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

2. 🖾 Probation.

Respondent is placed on probation for a period of <u>Three (3) years</u>, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein. (See rule 953, California Rules of Court.)



E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (2) (2) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (3) Within 30 days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (4) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, respondent must state whether respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and, if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (5) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (6) I Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (7) U Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of State Bar Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
 - No Ethics School recommended. Reason: <u>Respondent has been required to</u> complete Ethics School in Case No. 03-0-05115.
 - Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (9) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
 - Substance Abuse Conditions
 Law Office Management Conditions
 Medical Conditions
 Financial Conditions

[Form adopted by the SBC Executive Committee (Rev. 5/5/05)

<u>X</u>...

(8)

5

Stayed Suspension



F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

- (1) I Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year. Fallure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 951(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.
 - No MPRE recommended. Reason: Respondent is required to pass the MPRE in connection with Case No. 03-0-05115.

(2) I Other Conditions:

See Stipulation Attachment.

6

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: DONNALEE H. HUFFMAN

CASE NUMBER(S): 05-0-01809

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

The Parties to this stipulation, Respondent Donnalee H. Huffman and the State Bar of California, through Deputy Trial Counsel Erin McKeown Joyce, stipulate and agree to the following facts and conclusions of law:

Case No. 05-O-01809

Violation of Rules of Professional Conduct 3-110(A) and 3-700(A)(2)

Facts

On June 3, 1997, James R. Porter was found guilty on two counts regarding a criminal matter in the Kern County Superior Court entitled *The People of the State of California v. James Richard Porter*, case No. SC067732A (the "criminal matter"). Porter was sentenced to 21 years in prison.

In 2001, Porter employed Respondent to represent him in a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus in the Court of Appeal for the State of California Fifth Appellate District entitled *The People of the State of California v. James Richard Porter*, case no. FO-40033 ("the writ petition").

On May 16, 2001, Respondent sent a draft of the writ petition with attached exhibits to Porter.

On March 1, 2002, Respondent filed the writ petition with the Court of Appeal.

On March 14, 2002, the writ petition was denied by the court without prejudice to having the writ filed in Superior Court.

Instead of refiling the writ petition in Superior Court, on March 28, 2002, Respondent sent a letter to Porter in which Respondent advised Porter that the writ petition had been denied and that Respondent was withdrawing from the case.

On March 7, 2005, Porter filed a complaint with the State Bar of California, claiming that Porter had not heard from Respondent since February of 2002 and that Respondent had failed to return his transcripts and paperwork. Porter denies receiving the March 28, 2002 communication from Respondent.

7

V:\CTC\Staff\LA Unit 2\Erin Joyce\Huffman stip attachment.wpd

Attachment Page 1

On March 16, 2005, the court of appeal received a letter from Porter, requesting a copy of the order denying the writ petition.

On March 17, 2005, the court of appeal issued a copy of the order to Porter.

In 2005, Porter contacted the California Innocence Project ("CIP") to assist him with his appeal.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

By failing to refile the writ petition in Superior Court, and instead withdrawing from the case with only a letter to her client and no other follow up, and failing to take any other steps to prevent prejudice to Porter from her withdrawal, Respondent intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence in violation of Rule of Professional Conduct 3-110(A) and improperly withdrew from employment without taking reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to Porter in violation of rule 3-700(A)(2).

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE

Pursuant to Standard 1.3 of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct:

The primary purposes of disciplinary proceedings conducted by the State Bar of California and of sanctions imposed upon a finding or acknowledgment of a member's professional misconduct are the protection of the public, the court and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the protection of public confidence in the legal profession.

Pursuant to Standard 2.4 of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct:

Culpability of a member of a pattern of wilfully failing to perform services demonstrating the member's abandonment of the cause in which he or she was retained shall result in disbarment.

Culpability of a member of wilfully failing to perform services in an individual matter or matters not demonstrating a pattern of misconduct or culpability of a member of wilfully failing to communicate with a client shall result in reproval or suspension depending upon the extent of the misconduct and the degree of harm to the client.

Respondent's violation of Rules of Professional Conduct 3-110(A) and 3-700(A)(2) warrants the imposition of the stipulated discipline.

8

V:\CTC\Staff\LA Unit 2\Erin Joyce\Huffman stip attachment wpd

Attachment Page 2



ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

Respondent shall successfully complete six (6) hours of live instruction continuing legal education courses in legal ethics above those required for her license and provide satisfactory proof of completion within two (2) years of the effective date of the order approving this stipulation re facts, conclusions of law and disposition to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California. These hours will not count toward Respondent's MCLE requirements.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(7), was October 21, 2005.

V:\CTC\Staff\LA Unit 2\Erin Joyce\Huffman stip attachment.wpd

Attachment Page 3



| In the Matter of | Case number(s): |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| Donnalee H. Huffman | 05-0-01809 |
| | |
| | |

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

October 24, 2005

<u>Donnalee H. Huffman</u> Printname

Date

Respondent's Counsel's signature

Print name

27-05

Deputy Trial Counsel's onature

Erin McKeown Joyce Printname

Page _ 10





| In the Matter of | Case number(s): | |
|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| Donnalee H. Huffman | 05-0-01809 | |
| | | |
| · · · | | |

ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 953(a), California Rules of Court.)

14/05

RICHARD A. HONN

Judge of the State Bar Court

(Form adopted by the SBC Executive Committee (Rev. 5/5/05)

Page ____

Stayed Suspension

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE [Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on November 15, 2005, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at $[\mathbf{X}]$ Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

DONNALEE H HUFFMAN ATTORNEY AT LAW 1603 CALIFORNIA AVE #119 **BAKERSFIELD, CA 93304**

 $[\mathbf{X}]$ by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Erin M. Joyce, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on November 15, 2005.

Julieta E. Gonzales Case Administrator

State Bar Cour