State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** Los Angeles



Counsel For The State Bar (for Court's use) Case Number (s) 06-C-10774 07-C-10389 MICHAEL J. GLASS PUBLIC MATTER **DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL** 1149 S. HILL STREET LOS ANGELES, CA 90015 (213) 765-1254 SEP 20 2007 Bar # 102700 Counsel For Respondent STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE ARTHUR L. MARGOLIS LOS ANGELES 2000 RIVERSIDE DRIVE LOS ANGELES, CA 90039 (323) 953-8996 Submitted to: Assigned Judge Bar # 57703 STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND In the Matter Of: **DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING GREGORY LYLE JACKSON ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Bar # 212265 ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED A Member of the State Bar of California

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

(Respondent)

- Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted January 11, 2001. (1)
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by (3)this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 14 pages, not including the order.
- A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included (4) under "Facts."
- Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of (5)
- The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading (6) "Supporting Authority"

(Do not write above this line.)							
(7)	No pe	o more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any ending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.					
(8)		lyment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 40.7. (Check one option only):					
	 □ until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 284, Rules of Procedure. □ costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: three billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court Order. (hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure) □ costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs" □ costs entirely waived 						
	B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.						
(1)		Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]					
	(a)	☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case					
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective					
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:					
	(d)	Degree of prior discipline					
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.					
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.					
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.					
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.					
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.					
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.					
(7)	\boxtimes	Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. See Attachment Page 4.					
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.					

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.					
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.			
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.			
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.			
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct. See Attachment Pages 4-5.			
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.			
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.			
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.			
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.			
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.			
10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.			
11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.			
12)		Rehabilitation : Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.			
13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.			
Additional mitigating circumstances					
Respondent has no prior record of discipline.					
D. Discipline:					

in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor. (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions. (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session. No Ethics School recommended. Reason: (9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation. (10) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated: Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions Medical Conditions Negotiated by the Parties: Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within								
twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation. Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor. Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions. Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session. No Ethics School recommended. Reason: Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation. The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated: Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions Medical Conditions Negotiated by the Parties: Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass	(5)		July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be					
conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor. (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions. (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session. No Ethics School recommended. Reason: Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation. The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated: Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions Medical Conditions Negotiated by the Parties: Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension or without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure. No MPRE recommended. Reason:			In activen	ldition to all quarterly reports, a final ty (20) days before the last day of th	report, conta ne period of p	aining the same information, is due no earlier than probation and no later than the last day of probation.		
inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions. (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session. No Ethics School recommended. Reason: (9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation. (10) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated: Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions Medical Conditions Prinancial Conditions Financial Conditions Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure. No MPRE recommended. Reason: Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30	(6)		conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must					
Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session. No Ethics School recommended. Reason: Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation. The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated: Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions Medical Conditions Financial Conditions Financial Conditions Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure. No MPRE recommended. Reason: Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30	(7)	\boxtimes	inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has					
(9)	(8)		Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given					
must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation. The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated: Substance Abuse Conditions Hedical Conditions Financial Conditions Financial Conditions Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure. No MPRE recommended. Reason: Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30				No Ethics School recommended.	Reason:			
□ Substance Abuse Conditions □ Law Office Management Conditions □ Medical Conditions □ Financial Conditions F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties: Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure. □ No MPRE recommended. Reason: Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30	(9)	\boxtimes	must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office					
Medical Conditions ☐ Financial Conditions F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties: Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure. ☐ No MPRE recommended. Reason: Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30	(10)		The f	ollowing conditions are attached he	reto and inco	rporated:		
F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties: (1) Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure. No MPRE recommended. Reason: Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30				Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions		
Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure. No MPRE recommended. Reason: Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30				Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions		
the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure. No MPRE recommended. Reason: Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30	F. O	F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:						
California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30	(1)		the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.					
	2)		California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within					

(Do not write above this line.)

(Do r	ot write	above this line.)
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(4)		Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
(5)	\boxtimes	Other Conditions: See Attachment Pages 5-7 re Substance Abuse Conditions

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

GREGORY LYLE JACKSON

CASE NUMBER(S):

06-C-10774; 07-C-10389

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violation of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 06-C-10774

- 1. On January 24, 2007, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23105.5(a) (Wet Reckless Driving), a misdemeanor.
- 2. The underlying offense occurred on November 25, 2005, when Respondent was arrested for violating Vehicle Code sections 23152(a) (Driving Under the Influence) and 23152(b) (Driving with Blood Alcohol Level of 0.08 or More).
- 3. On January 24, 2007, Respondent was sentenced to three years summary probation and a \$155 fine.

Conclusions of Law

By being convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23105.5(a) (Wet Reckless Driving), a misdemeanor, Respondent was convicted of a crime involving other misconduct warranting discipline.

Case No. 07-C-10389

- 1. On January 24, 2007, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 20002(a) (Hit and Run/Property Damage), a misdemeanor.
 - 2. The underlying offense occurred on November 21, 2006, when Respondent was

Page #

arrested for violating Vehicle Code sections 20002 (Hit and Run/Property Damage) and 12500(a) (Driving w/o Valid Driver's License). The incident occurred when Respondent's vehicle struck some brick pillars and shrubbery, in the patio area of a restaurant, while Respondent was trying to park his vehicle.

3. On January 24, 2007, Respondent was sentenced to three years summary probation and a \$155 fine.

Conclusions of Law

By being convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 20002(a) (Hit and Run/Property Damage), a misdemeanor, Respondent was convicted of a crime involving other misconduct warranting discipline.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(7), was August 21, 2007.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of July 13, 2007, the costs in this matter are \$2,255.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND IN CONVICTION PROCEEDING.

Case No. 06-C-10774

- 1. This is a proceeding pursuant to sections 6101 and 6102 of the Business and Professions Code and rule 9.10 of the California Rules of Court.
- 2. On January 24, 2007, respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23103.5(a) (Wet Reckless Driving), a misdemeanor.
- 3. On February 27, 2007, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order referring the matter to the Hearing Department on the following issues: Whether the facts and circumstances surrounding the offense involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline.

4. On June 1, 2007, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order augmenting its prior order dated February 27, 2007, to include a hearing and decision recommending the discipline to be imposed in the event that the Hearing Department finds that the facts and circumstances surrounding the offense of which Gregory Lyle Jackson was convicted involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline.

Case No. 07-C-10389

- 1. This is a proceeding pursuant to sections 6101 and 6102 of the Business and Professions Code and rule 9.10 of the California Rules of Court.
- 2. On January 24, 2007, respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 20002(a)(Hit and Run/Property Damage), a misdemeanor.
- 3. On February 27, 2007, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order referring the matter to the Hearing Department on the following issues: Whether the facts and circumstances surrounding the offense involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline.
- 4. On June 14, 2007, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order augmenting its prior order dated February 27, 2007, to include a hearing and decision recommending the discipline to be imposed in the event that the Hearing Department finds that the facts and circumstances surrounding the offense of which Gregory Lyle Jackson was convicted involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Standard 3.4 provides that "Final conviction of a member of a crime which does not involve moral turpitude inherently or in the facts and circumstances surrounding the crime's commission but which does involve other misconduct warranting discipline shall result in a sanction as prescribed under part B of these standards appropriate to the nature and extent of the misconduct found to have been committed by the member."

In *In re Kelley* (1990) 52 Cal. 3d 487, while Respondent was on probation for a prior April 1984 DUI conviction, in November 1986 Respondent received a second DUI conviction. The Supreme Court imposed discipline consisting of a public reproval and three years probation with conditions. In aggravation the court found that Respondent made no attempts to show rehabilitative efforts and maintained she had no alcohol abuse problem.

In In re Carr (1988) 46 Cal. 3d 1089, Respondent pled nolo contendere to two counts of

violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (DUI) with one incident in 1983 and the other in 1984. The Supreme Court imposed discipline consisting of a 2- year -stayed suspension, 5 years probation with conditions including a 6- month -actual suspension and until Respondent complied with standard 1.4(c)(ii).

In *In the Matter of Carr* (1992) 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 108, Respondent received three criminal convictions, not involving moral turpitude, consisting of an August 1985 conviction for driving with a suspended license due to a prior DUI conviction, a January 1986 conviction for being under the influence of PCP, and an August 1986 conviction for driving with knowledge of a suspended license. The Review Department recommended discipline consisting of a 2- year-stayed suspension, 2 years probation with conditions including a 6- month- actual suspension and until Respondent complies with standard 1.4(c)(ii).

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

PRIOR DISCIPLINE.

None

FACTS SUPPORTING AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Under standard 1.2(b)(ii), Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing as in Case No. 06-C-10744, on January 24, 2007, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23105.5(a) (Wet Reckless Driving), a misdemeanor, with the underlying offense occurring on November 25, 2005.

In Case No. 07-C-10389, on January 24, 2007, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 20002(a) (Hit and Run/Property Damage), a misdemeanor, with the underlying offense occurring on November 21, 2006.

ADDITIONAL AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

None

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

FACTS SUPPORTING MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Under standard 1.2(e)(vii), on or about January 4, 2007, Respondent voluntarily

10 Page # checked himself into an Alcohol Rehabilitation Center, known as Oasis, where Respondent remained as an In-Patient through March 23, 2007. On or about March 24, 2007, Respondent voluntarily checked himself into an Alcohol Rehabilitation Center, known as Victor House, where Respondent remained as an In-Patient through July 3, 2007. Respondent acknowledges that he has a substance abuse problem.

ADDITIONAL MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Respondent has no prior record of discipline.

STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL.

Because respondent has agreed to attend State Bar Ethics School as part of this stipulation, respondent may receive Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit upon the satisfactory completion of State Bar Ethics School.

OTHER CONDITIONS NEGOTIATED BY THE PARTIES.

SUBSTANCE ABUSE CONDITIONS

Abstinence:

Respondent shall abstain from use of any alcoholic beverages, and shall not consume or possess any narcotics, dangerous or restricted drugs, controlled substances, marijuana, or associated paraphernalia, except with a valid prescription.

Reporting Abstinence:

Respondent shall report his compliance with this condition (i.e. Abstinence) by statement under penalty of perjury in each written quarterly report to the Office of Probation required pursuant to this order.

Submit to Examination:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the discipline in this matter, if Respondent has not already done so, Respondent shall submit to a medical examination by a doctor certified by the American Society of Addiction Medicine, to be mutually agreed upon by Respondent and the State Bar or as ordered by the Court ("Doctor"). The Doctor shall conduct an evaluation and issue a report to the Office of Probation and include/address the following:

1. Provide an evaluation, pursuant to DSM-IV-TR, to determine a diagnosis, if any, of Respondent's condition regarding alcohol;

The evaluation may include the performance of standardized tests in oral or written form; interviews with Respondent; review of records relating to his medical condition, criminal proceedings, criminal probation records, State Bar disciplinary records, alcohol treatment or recovery records; and other information provided by the State Bar and/or Respondent.

No physically invasive procedures may be performed without prior consent of Respondent or upon a court order. The Doctor will advise Respondent and/or the State Bar if any physically invasive procedure is required.

2. For any condition regarding alcohol which is diagnosed by the Doctor a determination should be made as to whether the Doctor recommends any treatment to address that condition, and the Doctor should state in specific terms the Doctors' recommendations for how Respondent should be tested, monitored, and/or treated.

Compliance with Recommended Treatment:

Respondent shall comply with all treatment conditions recommended by the Doctor, either as originally set forth or as may be modified thereafter.

Respondent shall report his compliance with these conditions by statement under penalty of perjury in each written quarterly report to the Office of Probation and he shall provide such satisfactory proof of his compliance as the Office of Probation may request.

Random Blood/Urine Tests:

Respondent must select a licensed medical laboratory approved by the Office of Probation. Respondent must furnish to the laboratory blood and/or urine samples as may be required to show that Respondent has abstained from alcohol and/or drugs. The samples must be furnished to the laboratory in such a manner as may be specified by the laboratory to ensure specimen integrity. Respondent must cause the laboratory to provide to the Office of Probation, at the Respondent's expense, a screening report on or before the tenth day of each month of the condition or probation period, containing an analysis of Respondent's blood and/or urine obtained not more than ten (10) days previously.

Respondent must maintain with the Office of Probation a current address and a current telephone number at which Respondent can be reached. Respondent must return any call from the Office of Probation concerning testing of Respondent's blood or urine within twelve (12) hours. The Office of Probation may require Respondent to deliver Respondent's urine and/or blood sample(s) for additional reports to the laboratory described above no later than six hours after actual notice to Respondent that the Office of Probation requires an additional screening report.

Consent for Release of Treatment and Recovery Information:

Respondent shall provide a written consent to all alcohol or drug recovery or treatment providers, including testing facilities, who provide services as identified in these Substance Abuse Conditions to release information to the Office of Probation regarding his treatment, compliance, and status.

Copy of this Stipulation to all Treatment Providers:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of discipline in this matter, Respondent shall deliver a copy of this stipulation to all treatment providers who provide services to him described in these Substance Abuse Conditions.

Reporting Consent and Delivery of Stipulation:

Respondent shall report his compliance with the condition of providing consent to release treatment and recovery information and his delivering of this Stipulation to treatment providers, by statement under penalty of perjury in each written quarterly report to the Office of Probation required pursuant to this order and he shall provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of his compliance if requested.

Costs are Responsibility of Respondent:

Respondent shall be responsible for the prompt and timely payment of all costs associated with these Substance Abuse Conditions, including, without limitation, the cost of examination(s), testing, treatment, or therapy, and any all other costs related to these Substance Abuse Conditions.

Modification of Conditions:

Modification of these conditions shall be pursuant to the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, rule 550 et seq.

(Do not write above this line,)	
In the Matter of	Case number(s):
GREGORY LYLE JACKSON	06-C-10774; 07-C-10389

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

Aug-24 2007	Sregery J. Outson	Gregory Lyle Jackson
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
leg. 31,2007	talker Makyeles	Arthur Margolis
Date	Respondent's Counsel dignature	Print Name
9/4/07	mids Ilss	Michael Glass
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

(Do not write above this line.) In the Matter Of GREGORY LYLE JACKSON	Case Number(s): 06-C-10774; 07-C-10389		
ORD	DER		
Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of oprejudice, and:	d that it adequately protects the public, counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without		
The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.			
The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.			
All Hearing dates are vacated.			
Approve with the following modification:			
mitigating factor but instead have included as "Additional record of discipline." Because respondent was admitted involves misconduct occurring in November 2005 and 20 Standard 1.2(e)(i) of the Standards for Attorney Sanction (Review Dept. 1991) 1 Cal. State Bar Ct. 456, 473 [four Naney (1990) 51 Cal. 3d 186, 196; and In the Matter of Seven years without discipline is not significant in mitigation.	2006, respondent is not entitled to mitigating credit under us for Professional Misconduct. (In the Matter of Hertz year practice insufficient for mitigation]; see also In re Tindall (Review Dept. 1991) 1 Cal. State Bar Ct.652, 664		
The parties are bound by the stipulation as approvine stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See effective date of this disposition is the effective days after file date. (See rule 9.18)	f this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The e date of the Supreme Court order herein,		
9/17/07	Smaldfille		
Date	udge of the State Bar Court DONALD F. MILES		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on September 20, 2007, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

ARTHUR LEWIS MARGOLIS, ESQ. MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS LLP 2000 RIVERSIDE DR LOS ANGELES, CA 90039

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

MICHAEL GLASS, ESQ., Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on **September 20, 2007**.

Rose M. Luthi

Case Administrator

State Bar Court