

(Do not write above this line.)

## State Bar Court of California

Hearing Department  
Los Angeles**PUBLIC MATTER**

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Counsel For The State Bar<br><br><b>Charles T. Calix</b><br><b>Deputy Trial Counsel</b><br><b>1149 S. Hill Street</b><br><b>Los Angeles, California 90015</b><br><b>(213) 765-1255</b><br><br>Bar # <b>146853</b> | Case Number (s)<br><b>07-O-10314</b>                                                                                                                                                                                       | (for Court's use)<br><br><div style="text-align: center;"> <b>FILED</b><br/> <b>MAY 08 2009</b><br/>         STATE BAR COURT<br/>         CLERK'S OFFICE<br/>         LOS ANGELES       </div> |
| In Pro Per Respondent<br><br><b>David Glenn Currie</b><br><b>5230 D. Street</b><br><b>Chino, California 91710</b><br><b>(909) 591-0237</b><br><br>Bar # <b>153669</b>                                             | Submitted to: <b>Settlement Judge</b><br><br>STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND<br>DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING<br><br><b>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</b><br><br><input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED |                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| In the Matter Of:<br><b>David Glenn Currie</b><br><br>Bar # <b>153669</b><br><br>A Member of the State Bar of California<br>(Respondent)                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                |

**Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.**

**A. Parties' Acknowledgments:**

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted **June 18, 1991**.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **//** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."



- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- ☐ until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 284, Rules of Procedure.
  - ☒ costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: **Costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following two billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order.**  
(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)
  - ☐ costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"
  - ☐ costs entirely waived

**B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.**

- (1) ☐ **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
- (a) ☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case
  - (b) ☐ Date prior discipline effective
  - (c) ☐ Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
  - (d) ☐ Degree of prior discipline
  - (e) ☐ If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
- (2) ☐ **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) ☐ **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) ☒ **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice. **Respondent's failure to perform legal services with competence and failure to refund unearned fees significantly harmed his client.**
- (5) ☐ **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) ☐ **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) ☐ **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.

- (8) ☐ **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

**Additional aggravating circumstances:**

**C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.**

- (1) ☐ **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) ☐ **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) ☒ **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. **Respondent has been candid and cooperative with the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings, in part, by admitting to the misconduct and entering into this stipulation.**
- (4) ☐ **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) ☐ **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ in restitution to \_\_\_\_\_ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) ☐ **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) ☐ **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) ☐ **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) ☐ **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) ☐ **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) ☐ **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) ☐ **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) ☐ **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

**Additional mitigating circumstances**

**Respondent has no prior record of discipline since being admitted on June 18, 1991.**

**D. Discipline:**

(1) ☒ **Stayed Suspension:**

- (a) ☐ Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of **one (1) year**.
- i. ☐ and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- ii. ☐ and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii. ☐ and until Respondent does the following:
- (b) ☐ The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

(2) ☒ **Probation:**

Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of **two (2) years**, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)

(3) ☒ **Actual Suspension:**

- (a) ☒ Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of **thirty (30) days**.
- i. ☐ and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
- ii. ☐ and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii. ☐ and until Respondent does the following:

**E. Additional Conditions of Probation:**

- (1) ☒ If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- (2) ☒ During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) ☒ Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) ☒ Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and

conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.

- (5) ☒ Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6) ☐ Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7) ☒ Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8) ☒ Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- ☐ No Ethics School recommended. Reason: \_\_\_\_\_
- (9) ☐ Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) ☐ The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- |                                                     |                                                           |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions         | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions             |

#### F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

- (1) ☒ **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. **Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 954-9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.**
- ☐ No MPRE recommended. Reason: \_\_\_\_\_
- (2) ☐ **Rule 955-9.20, California Rules of Court:** Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 955-9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule

within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.

- (3) ☐ **Conditional Rule 955-9.20, California Rules of Court:** If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 955-9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (4) ☐ **Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]:** Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
- (5) ☒ **Other Conditions:** Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must submit to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of no less than six (6) hours of MCLE approved courses in law office management. This requirement is separate from any MCLE requirement, and Respondent shall not receive MCLE credit for attending these courses (Rule 3201, Rules of Procedure of the State Bar).

**ATTACHMENT TO**  
**STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION**

IN THE MATTER OF:        David Glenn Currie

CASE NUMBER:            07-O-10314

**FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.**

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

**FACTS:**

1. On or about July 19, 2002, Jermel West ("Jermel") employed Respondent to quiet title to real property located at 436 N. Buena Vista Street, Hemet, California (the "Buena Vista property") against Kevin Bush ("Bush"). In July 2002, Jermel paid Respondent advanced attorneys fees of \$5,300 and advanced costs of \$235 to quiet title to Buena Vista property.
2. On or about August 8, 2002, Respondent filed a complaint on behalf of Jermel to quiet title and reform the deed to the Buena Vista Property in the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside ("Superior Court"), titled *Jermel Orlando West v. Kevin Bush, et al.*, Case No. RIC379215 ("*West v. Bush*"). Thereafter, the parties stipulated for entry of judgment to quiet title in favor of Jermel. On or about February 3, 2003, the Superior Court ordered the deed for the Buena Vista Property to be reformed in favor of Jermel in *West v. Bush*.
3. On or about May 2, 2003, Jermel employed Respondent to file a lawsuit against the mortgage company and title company involved in Jermel's purchase of the Buena Vista property for failing to record the title properly. In or about May 2003, Jermel paid \$5,000 to Respondent in advanced attorney fees to file a lawsuit against the mortgage company and title company.
4. On or about July 10, 2003, Respondent filed a complaint against the mortgage company and title company in the Superior Court titled *Jermel West v. Realty Mortgage Corp, First American Title Insurance Co*, Case No. RIC397344 ("*West v. Realty Mortgage*").
5. On or about November 24, 2003, the attorney for the defendants filed a demurrer to the complaint in *West v. Realty Mortgage*. Respondent received the demurrer, but did not file an opposition to it.
6. On or about February 9, 2004, Respondent failed to appear for the hearing on the demurrer in *West v. Realty Mortgage*. The Superior Court sustained the demurrer with 20 days leave to amend. Respondent received notice that the demurrer had been sustained with 20 days leave to amend.
7. On or about February 26, 2004, the attorney for the defendants filed and served on Respondent a Case Management Statement ("CMS") for the Case Management Conference ("CMC") on March 11 2004, in *West v. Realty Mortgage*. Respondent received the CMS.

8. On or about March 1, 2004, Respondent filed a first amended complaint in *West v. Realty Mortgage*.

9. On or about March 2, 2004, Respondent filed and served a CMS for the CMC on March 11, 2004 in *West v. Realty Mortgage*.

10. On or about March 9, 2004, the attorney for the defendants filed and served on Respondent a demurrer to the first amended complaint in *West v. Realty Mortgage*. The demurrer listed a hearing date of April 15, 2004. Respondent received the demurrer but did not file an opposition to it.

11. On or about March 11, 2004, Respondent failed to appear for the CMC in *West v. Realty Mortgage*. The Superior Court continued the CMC until April 15, 2004. Respondent received notice of the continuance of the CMC to April 15, 2004.

12. On or about March 18, 2004, the attorney for the defendants filed and served on Respondent a CMS for the CMC on April 15, 2004, in *West v. Realty Mortgage*. Respondent received the statement, but did not file a CMS for the hearing on April 15, 2004.

13. On or about April 15, 2004, Respondent failed to appear for the hearing on the demurrer and CMC in *West v. Realty Mortgage*. The Superior Court sustained the demurrer with 20 days leave to amend and continued the CMC to June 14, 2004. Respondent received notice that the demurrer had been sustained with 20 days leave to amend and that the CMC had been continued to June 14, 2004, but did not file a second amended complaint or a CMS for the hearing on June 14, 2004.

14. On or about May 19, 2004, the attorney for the defendants filed and served on Respondent a motion to dismiss the complaint in *West v. Realty Mortgage* for failure to file a second amended complaint within 20 days of the hearing on April 15, 2004. The motion listed a hearing date of June 29, 2004. Respondent received the motion but did not file a second amended complaint or opposition to the motion.

15. On or about June 2, 2004, the attorney for the defendants filed and served on Respondent a CMS for the CMC on June 14, 2004 in *West v. Realty Mortgage*. Respondent received the statement but did not file a CMS for the hearing on June 14, 2004.

16. On or about June 14, 2004, Respondent failed to appear for the CMC in *West v. Realty Mortgage*. The Superior Court continued the CMC to June 29, 2004. Respondent received notice that the CMC had been continued to June 29, 2004, but did not file a CMS for the hearing continued to June 29, 2004.

17. On or about June 29, 2004, Respondent failed to appear for the hearing on the motion to dismiss and the CMC in *West v. Realty Mortgage*. The Superior Court dismissed the matter for failure of Respondent to file an amended complaint within the time period allowed by the court. Respondent received notice that the complaint had been dismissed but took no action to reinstate the matter.

18. By allowing *West v. Realty Mortgage* to be dismissed and not taking any action to reinstate it, Respondent effectively terminated his representation of Jermel as no further services could be provided.

19. Respondent provided no legal services of value to Jermel in *West v. Realty Mortgage*. Respondent did not earn any of the advanced fees paid by Jermel. At no time did Respondent refund any of the \$5,000 in advanced fees paid by Jermel re *West v. Realty Mortgage* or the \$1,550 in advanced fees paid by Jermel re *West v. Bush* that Jermel had agreed could be applied to *West v. Realty Mortgage*.

20. Between in or about June of 2004 and in or about August of 2005, Respondent did not inform Jermel that *West v. Realty Mortgage* had been dismissed.

21. In or about August of 2005, Respondent called Jermel and requested that Jermel meet with Respondent at Respondent's office. Jermel met with Respondent at his office where Respondent told Jermel that *West v. Realty Mortgage* had been dismissed.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

22. By failing to file oppositions to two demurrers, file an opposition to the motion to dismiss, file three CMSs, appear for hearings on the two demurrers, appear for the hearing on the motion to dismiss, and appear for three CMCs, Respondent intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform services with competence in wilful violation of rule 3-110(A), Rules of Professional Conduct.

23. By not refunding the \$6,550 to Jermel, Respondent willfully failed to refund unearned fees after he constructively terminated his representation of Jermel in *West v. Realty Mortgage* in willful violation of rule 3-700(D)(2).

24. By failing to inform Jermel between in or about in or about June of 2004 and in or about August of 2005 that *West v. Realty Mortgage* had been dismissed, Respondent willfully failed to keep a client reasonably informed of significant developments in a matter in which Respondent had agreed to provide legal services in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(m).

### AUTHORITIES.

Standard 2.4 of the Standards Pertaining to Sanctions for Professional Misconduct addresses offenses involving wilful failure to communicate or to perform services and, in the case of failure to perform in an individual matter or matters not demonstrating a pattern of misconduct, provides for reproof or suspension upon the extent of the misconduct and the degree of harm to the client.

Standard 2.10 provides that culpability of a wilful violation of any Rule of Professional Conduct not specified in these standards shall result in reproof or suspension according to the gravity of the offense or the harm, if any, to the victim, with due regard to the purposes of imposing discipline set forth in standard 1.3.

Standard 1.3 provides guidance as to the imposition of discipline and interpretation of specific Standards. That Standard states that the primary purpose of discipline is the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession.

The Standards authorize reproof or suspension in this matter. Respondent's misconduct involved a single client matter and does not establish a pattern. He admitted his misconduct to the client and has cooperated with the State Bar. Given the extent of the misconduct and the degree of harm to the

29. In or about August of 2005, Respondent called Jermel and requested that Jermel meet with Respondent at Respondent's office. Jermel met with Respondent at his office where Respondent told Jermel that *West v. Realty Mortgage* had been dismissed.

30. By failing to inform Jermel between in or about in or about June of 2004 and in or about August of 2005 that *West v. Realty Mortgage* had been dismissed, Respondent willfully failed to keep a client reasonably informed of significant developments in a matter in which Respondent had agreed to provide legal services.

#### **PENDING PROCEEDINGS.**

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(7), was April 22, 2009.

#### **COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.**

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed Respondent that as of April 22, 2009, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$1,983.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

#### **FINANCIAL CONDITIONS, RESTITUTION.**

Within eighteen (18) months from the effective date of discipline in this matter, Respondent must make restitution to Jermel West or the Client Security Fund if it has paid, in the principal amount of \$6,550 plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum from May 2, 2003 and furnish satisfactory evidence of restitution to the Office of Probation. Respondent shall include, in each quarterly report required herein, satisfactory evidence of all restitution payments made by him or her during that reporting period.

(Do not write above this line.)

|                                        |                               |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| In the Matter of<br>David Glenn Currie | Case number(s):<br>07-O-10314 |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|

### SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

4-28-09

Date

David Currie

Respondent's Signature

David Glenn Currie  
Print Name

Date

4-30-09

Date

[Signature]  
Respondent's Counsel Signature

[Signature]  
Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature

                      
Print Name

Charles T. Calix  
Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter Of  
**David Glenn Currie**

Case Number(s):  
**07-O-10314**

### ORDER


Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- ☐ The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- ☒ The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- ☐ All Hearing dates are vacated.

At page 4, paragraph E.(1), delete the "X" from the box, there being no need for a conditional Standard 1.4(c)(ii) requirement.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) **The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)**

5/7/09  
Date

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge of the State Bar Court  
**RICHARD A. HONN**

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on May 8, 2009, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

### STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


- ☒ by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

DAVID G CURRIE ESQ  
5230 D ST  
CHINO, CA 91710

- ☒ by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Charles T. Calix, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on May 8, 2009.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julieta E. Gonzales  
Case Administrator  
State Bar Court