DEC 2 8 2011
STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO

STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

HEARING DEPARTMENT – SAN FRANCISCO

) Case Nos.: 10-C-09659 ; 10-C-10258 (Cons.)
)
ORDER RE DISCIPLINARY COSTS andDENYING REQUEST TO RESCIND
) REPROVAL CONDITION)

On September 23, 2011, the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court issued an order approving stipulation, whereby Gary William Gorski (Gorski) was publicly reproved and required, among other things, to pay disciplinary costs. The order approving stipulation became effective on October 14, 2011.

On November 16, 2011, Gorski filed a Motion for Relief of Cost Bill; or in the Alternative, Modification of Cost Bill and/or an Extension of Time to Pay Cost Bill. Specifically Gorski has requested relief, in whole or in part, from payment of costs, or in the alternative, an extension of time in which to pay costs, based on financial hardship and based on the fact that at the time he entered the stipulation with the State Bar of California, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel (State Bar), he had relied on its estimate, wherein it was represented that the total costs would be \$2,287.



On November 22, 2011, the State Bar, by and through Deputy Trial Counsel Erica Dennings, filed a response to Gorski's motion. In its response, the State Bar acknowledged that on August 31, 2011, it had provided respondent with an estimate of costs totaling \$2,287, and further acknowledged that the estimate was inaccurate in that the costs actually totaled \$7,193. Based on Gorski's reliance on the erroneous information provided to him by the State Bar, the State Bar does not oppose respondent paying a total of \$2,287, in lieu of the actual costs of \$7,193.

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, the court **GRANTS** Gorski's Motion to the extent of reducing the total costs assessed against Gorski in State Bar Court case Nos. 10-C-109659; 10-C-10258 (Cons.) from \$7,193 to \$2,287.

The court further **ORDERS** that Gary William Gorski must pay one-fifth of the \$2,287 (i.e., \$457.40) with his annual State Bar membership fees for each of the years 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6086.10, subd. (c).)

The court also **ORDERS** that, if Gorski fails to pay any installment of disciplinary costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court under Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, subdivision (c) and Rules of Procedure of the State Bar, rule 5.130 or 5.132, the remaining balance of the costs is due and payable immediately. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.134.) The payment of costs remains enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

Gorski's request that the reproval condition requiring him to abstain from the use of alcoholic beverages be rescinded is hereby **DENIED**. All substance abuse conditions attached to

Gorski's reproval as set forth in the Stipulation re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition and Order Approving, filed on September 23, 2011, remain as ordered by the court in its order approving stipulation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December <u>27</u>, 2011

Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on December 28, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

ORDER RE DISCIPLINARY COSTS and DENYING REQUEST TO RESCIND REPROVAL CONDITION

in a sea	aled envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:
\boxtimes	by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:
	DANIEL M. KARALASH STRATEGIC LAW COMMAND 1207 FRONT ST STE 15 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
	by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows:
	by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:
	by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.
	By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having charge of the attorney's office, addressed as follows:
\boxtimes	by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:
	Erica Dennings, Enforcement, San Francisco
	by certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on other 28, 2011.
	George Hae
	Case Administrator

State Bar Court