State Bar Court of California Hearing Department Los Angeles

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

REPROVAL For Court use only Counsel For The State Bar Case Number(s): 10-C-10097 Cindy McCaughey Deputy Trial Counsel 1149 S. Hill Street **PUBLIC MATTER** Los Angeles, CA 90015 213/765-1491 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE Bar # 222126 LOS ANGELES Counsel For Respondent Stephen J. Strauss Karpman & Associates 9777 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1000 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Submitted to: Assigned Judge 310/887/3900 STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING Bar # 129648 In the Matter of: PRIVATE REPROVAL Joel L. Zakuto PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 97989 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted May 29, 1981.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 8 pages, not including the order.

kwiktag * 018 043 218

(Do not write above this line.)			
(4)	A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."		
(5)	Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".		
(6)	The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."		
(7)	No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.		
(8)	Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):		
\$4°°		rep Ca: Co: (Ha	sts are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public roval). se ineligible for costs (private reproval). sts are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: ardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If
		Co:	spondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar urt, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. sts are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". sts are entirely waived.
(9)	The parties understand that:		
	(a)		A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.
	(b)	\boxtimes	A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
	(c)		A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
	fess	iona	ing Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for I Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances
(1)		Prio	r record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]
	(a)		State Bar Court case # of prior case
	(b)		Date prior discipline effective
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
	(d)		Degree of prior discipline

(Do n	(Do not write above this line.)		
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.	
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.	
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.	
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.	
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.	
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.	
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.	
(8)	\boxtimes	No aggravating circumstances are involved.	
Add	itiona	al aggravating circumstances:	
	_	ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating stances are required.	
(1)	\boxtimes	No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.	
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.	
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.	
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct. Respondent immediately sought counseling to assure such conduct would not reoccur.	
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.	
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.	
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.	

(Do no	ot write	e above this line.)	
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.	
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.	
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.	
(11)	\boxtimes	Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.	
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.	
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.	
Addi	tiona	al mitigating circumstances:	
D. G	isci	pline:	
(1)	\boxtimes	Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)	
	(a)	Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).	
<u>or</u>	(b)	Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).	
(2)		Public reproval (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)	
E. C	onc	litions Attached to Reproval:	
(1)	\boxtimes	Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of one year.	
(2)		During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.	
(3)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.	
(4)	\boxtimes	Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.	

(Do no	ot write	e above this line.)	
(5)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period.	
		In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.	
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.	
(7)	\boxtimes	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.	
(8)		Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.	
		No Ethics School recommended. Reason: See other conditions below.	
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.	
		Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reproval.	
		☐ No MPRE recommended. Reason:	
(11)		The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:	
		☐ Substance Abuse Conditions ☐ Law Office Management Conditions	
		☐ Medical Conditions ☐ Financial Conditions	
F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:			
Not later than one year after the effective date of discipline, Respondent will complete six (6) hours of either live or participartory ethics related MCLE courses and submit proof of successful completion to the Office of Probation. These hours are in addition to the State Bar's annual reporting requirement for all attorneys.			

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

Joel L. Zakuto

CASE NUMBER(S):

10-C-10097

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 10-C-10097 (Conviction Proceedings)

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND IN CONVICTION PROCEEDING:

- 1. This is a proceeding pursuant to sections 6101 and 6102 of the Business and Professions Code and rule 9.10 of the California Rules of Court.
- 2. On April 29, 2011, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order referring the matter to the Hearing Department for a hearing and decision recommending the discipline to be imposed after Respondent's conviction of violating Penal Code section 484(a) (petty theft), a misdemeanor.

FACTS:

- 3. On January 1, 2010, with the belief he was entitled to a replacement product for a defective purchase that Respondent had received from Fry's Electronics, Respondent entered the Fry's Woodland Hills store with the intention of taking a \$59 software product without paying for the merchandise and later exited the store without paying for the merchandise.
- 4. On January 19, 2010, Respondent was charged by way of misdemeanor complaint in Los Angeles Superior Court case number 0PY00024 with one count of violating Penal Code section 459 (burglary) and one count of violating Penal Code section 484(a) (petty theft).
- 5. On June 8, 2010, Respondent entered a plea of no-contest to violating Penal Code section 484(a)9. Imposition of sentence was suspended and Respondent was placed on summary probation for twenty four months with one day in jail and \$500 fine.
- 6. On April 29, 2011, pursuant to a Penal Code section 1203.4 petition, Respondent's probation term was terminated early and the criminal case was dismissed.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

7. The facts and circumstances surrounding the above-described violation(s) involved moral turpitude.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was June 2, 2011.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Standard 3.2 provides a mandatory minimum of a two-year actual suspension when a member is convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude either inherently or in the facts and circumstances surrounding the crime's commission.

However, the Supreme Court has recognized that the Standards provide a guideline and do not mandate the discipline to be imposed. (<u>Boehme v. State Bar (1988) 47 Cal.3d 448, 454; Greenbaum v. State Bar (1987) 43 Cal.3d 543, 550.</u>) The Court has also held that each case must be resolved on its own particular facts and not by application of rigid standards. (<u>In re Nadrich (1988) 44 Cal.3d 271, 278.</u>) Moreover, the Supreme Court has rejected imposition of the two-year minimum. (*In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 268-70).

Reproval is the appropriate discipline here. Respondent has been a member since 1981 with no prior record of discipline. The misconduct which led to the criminal conviction was outside the practice of law and resulted in no harm to the public. Respondent recognized his wrongdoing and immediately sought and continues treatment in counseling. Respondent has a wide section of both the legal and general community who are familiar with the situation and who attest to his good character. Respondent recognizes that his behavior in dealing with his frustration with the retailer was entirely inappropriate. Thus, the purposes set out in Standard 1.3 are met with this disposition.

(Do not write above this lin	ne.)	
In the Matter of: Joel L. Zakuto	Case number	(s) :
Joel L. Zakuto	10-C-10097	
	SIGNATURE OF TH	IE PARTIES
		cable, signify their agreement with each of the on Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.
6/6/11		Joel L. Zakuto
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
6/6/11	The Man	Stephen J. Strauss
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
6/6/11	Indy Man	Cindy McCaughey
Date '	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

(Do not write a			
In the Matt Joel L. Za		Case Number(s): 10-C-10097	
	DEDI	20VAL OPPED	
	REPI	ROVAL ORDER	
	the reproval, IT IS ORDERED that the re	at the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions quested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED withou	
网	The stipulated facts and disposition are	e APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.	
	The stipulated facts and disposition are REPROVAL IMPOSED.	e APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the	
	All court dates in the Hearing Departm	ent are vacated.	
within 15 da	ys after service of this order, is granted; on See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedu	unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved ire.) Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after	
	omply with any conditions attached to for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules	this reproval may constitute cause for a separate of Professional Conduct.	
. /.	. /.	ρ_{ν}	
ا / ا Date /	i Îl	Mon	
	•	Judge of the State Bar Court RICHARD A. HONN	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on June 6, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:		
	by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows:	
	by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows:	
	by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:	
	by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.	
\boxtimes	By hand-delivered to:	
	Stephen J. Strauss Cindy McCaughey Counsel for Respondent Deputy Trial Counsel	
	by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:	
	, Enforcement, <select city=""></select>	
	by certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on 2011.	

Cristina Potter
Case Administrator
State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on June 14, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sea	aled envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:
	by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:
	STEPHEN J. STRAUSS KARPMAN & ASSOCIATES 9777 WILSHIRE BLVD STE 1000 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212
	by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows:
a ⁱ .	
	by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:
	by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.
	By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having charge of the attorney's office, addressed as follows:
\boxtimes	by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:
	Cynthia B. McCaughey, Enforcement, Los Angeles
	by certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on 4, 2011.
	Cristina Potter
	Case Administrator

State Bar Court