	Bar Court of Californ Hearing Department Los Angeles REPROVAL	PUBLIC MATTER
Counsel For The State Bar Jessica A. Lienau Office of the Chief Trial Counsel 1149 S. Hill St. Los Angeles, CA 90015 Bar # 269753	Case Number(s): Case No. 10-O-08359- RAH Investigation No. 11-O- 10806	For Court use only FILED JAN 09 2012 STATE BAR COUR CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES
Counsel For Respondent Edward O. Lear Century Law Group LLP 5200 W. Century Blvd. Ste. 345 Los Angeles, CA 90045	Submitted to: Assigned Jud	lge
Bar # 132699 In the Matter of: REZA BAYAT	STIPULATION RE FACTS, O DISPOSITION AND ORDER PUBLIC REPROVAL	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND APPROVING
Bar # 228280 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	PREVIOUS STIPULATIC	ON REJECTED

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

# A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 2, 2003.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 9 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

(Effective January 1, 2011)



Reproval

ORIGINAL

1

- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
  - Costs are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public reproval).
  - Case ineligible for costs (private reproval).
  - Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
 Costs are entirely waived.

- (9) The parties understand that:
  - (a) A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.
  - (b) A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
  - (c) A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.

# B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
  - (a) 📋 State Bar Court case # of prior case
  - (b) Date prior discipline effective
  - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
  - (d) Degree of prior discipline
  - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.

(Effective January 1, 2011)

- (2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) No aggravating circumstances are involved.

#### Additional aggravating circumstances:

18

# C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.

(Effective January 1, 2011)

- (9) Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

#### Additional mitigating circumstances:

Respondent wishes to enter into a Stipulation.

# **D. Discipline:**

- (1) **Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)** 
  - (a) Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
  - (b) D Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).
- <u>or</u>

(2) Public reproval (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)

# E. Conditions Attached to Reproval:

- (1) Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of one (1) year.
- (2) During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover

<sup>(</sup>Effective January 1, 2011)

less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.

- (6) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.
- (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.
- (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
  - No Ethics School recommended. Reason:
- (9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reproval.
  - No MPRE recommended. Reason:
- (11)  $\square$  The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:

Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions

Medical Conditions
Medical Conditions

### F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

#### ATTACHMENT TO

# **STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION**

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reza Bayat

CASE NUMBER(S): 10-O-08359-RAH; Investigation No. 11-O-10806

# FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

#### Case No. 10-O-08359 (Complainants: John and Mary Salas)

On or about October 27, 2009, Respondent accepted the representation of John and Mary Salas (collectively "the Salas") in order to negotiate and obtain for the Salas a home mortgage loan modification for their property.

Between on or about October 29, 2009, and on or about February 10, 2010, Respondent charged and collected a total of \$3,495.00 in advanced attorney fees from the Salas before fully performing each and every service he had contracted to perform or represented that he would perform.

In or around August 2010, the Salas made a complaint to the State Bar about Respondent. Between in or around August 2010 and in or around July 2011, Respondent did not maintain an address with the State Bar's membership records department where he could be reached. On or about July 18, 2011, Respondent reported a new address to the State Bar's membership records department.

On or about July 28, 2011, an investigator for the State Bar mailed a letter to Respondent at his State Bar membership records address requesting a response to allegations raised by the Salas' complaint. Respondent received the letter. Respondent did not respond to the State Bar's letter or otherwise cooperate in the investigation of the Salas' complaint. On or about November 2011, Respondent refunded \$3,495.00 to the Salas.

By negotiating, arranging and offering to perform a mortgage loan modification for a fee paid by the Salas, and demanding, charging, collecting and receiving \$3,495.00 from the Salas prior to fully performing each and every service he had contracted to perform or represented that he would perform, in violation Section 2944.7(a)(1) of the Civil Code, Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code section 6106.3.

By not providing a written response to the allegations raised by the Salas' complaint, Respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against him in wilful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(i).

#### Investigation No. 11-O-10806 (Complainants: Daljeet and Sohan Singh)

On or about June 10, 2009, Respondent accepted the representation of Daljeet and Sohan Singh (collectively "the Singhs") in order to negotiate and obtain for the Singhs a home mortgage loan

Attachment Page 1

modification for their property. On or about June 10, 2009, Respondent charged and collected \$4,000.00 in advanced attorney fees from the Singhs.

The Singhs were never provided with information on the status of their loan modification from Respondent. The Singhs were not granted a home loan modification from their lender. Respondent returned the \$4,000.00 of advanced attorney fees paid by the Singhs in November 2011.

Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A), by intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failing to perform legal services with competence by failing to take the required action on the Singhs loan modification matter.

Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), by failing to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned by failing to refund the \$4,000.00 paid by the Singhs in advance attorney fees.

# PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was November 29, 2011.

# **AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.**

Standard 1.3, Title IV, Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, provides that the primary purposes of the disciplinary system are: "the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession."

Standard 2.6 holds that a violation of § 6068, Business and Professions Code, shall result in disbarment or suspension depending on the gravity of the offense or harm, if any, to the victim.

Standard 2.4(b) holds that a failure to perform in individual client matters, not demonstrating a pattern, shall result in reproval or suspension depending on the extent of the misconduct and the extent of harm to the client.

Standard 2.10 holds that the violation of rule 3-700(D)(2) [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees] shall result in reproval or suspension, depending on the gravity of the offense or the harm to the victim.

The Standards call for a level of discipline ranging between reproval and disbarment. Based on the facts of this case, a public reproval with one year of reproval conditions, including restitution conditions, is an appropriate level of discipline.

There are no aggravating circumstances present in this matter. Hence, discipline at the lower end of the range of discipline called for in the Standards is appropriate.

#### **DISMISSALS.**

The parties respectfully request the Court to dismiss the following alleged violations in the interest of justice:

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Count</u>	Alleged Violation
10-O-08359	Two	Failure to Perform with Competence [rule 3-110(A)]
10-O-08359	Three	Failure to Return Unearned Fees [rule 3-700(D)(2)]

# COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of October 25, 2011, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$4161.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

8

	Case number(s): Case No. 10-O-08359-RAH Investigation No. 11-O-10806

# SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

Responde

Respondent's Cou sel Signature

|2|W| || Date Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature

Reza Bayat Print Name

Edward O. Lear Print Name

Jessica A. Lienau Print Name

In the Matter of: REZA BAYAT Case Number(s): Case No. 10-O-08359-RAH Investigation No. 11-O-10806

# **REPROVAL ORDER**

Finding that the stipulation protects the public and that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions attached to the reproval, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- All court dates in the Hearing Department are vacated.
  - 1. The "X's" in paragraph E. (11) on page 5 of the Stipulation are removed.

Date

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) **Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after service of this order.** 

Failure to comply with any conditions attached to this reproval may constitute cause for a separate proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.

**RICHARD A. HONN** 

Judge of the State Bar Court

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on January 9, 2012, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

EDWARD O LEAR ESQ CENTURY LAW GROUP LLP 5200 W CENTURY BLVD #345 LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

 $\square$ 

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Jessica A. Lienau, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on January 9, 2012.

Julieta L. Jonzales ulieta E. Gonzales //

Case Administrator State Bar Court