State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** San Francisco **REPROVAL** For Court use only Counsel For The State Bar Case Number(s): 11-C-10413 Robert A. Henderson PUBLIC MATTER **Deputy Trial Counsel** 180 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 538-2385 FILED Bar # 173205 JUN 2 0 2011 Counsel For Respondent STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO **Ephraim Margolin** 240 Stockton St., 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108-5318 (415) 421-4347 Submitted to: Assigned Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Bar # 32582 DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING In the Matter of: Anup Tikku **PUBLIC REPROVAL** PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 184612 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 2, 1996.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 8 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

kwiktag * 018 043 298

Do no	t write	above	this line.)
(5)	Con-		ons of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of
(6)	The "Sup	partie portii	es must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading ng Authority."
(7)	No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.		
(8)			of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & Check one option only):
		rep Cas	sts are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public roval). se ineligible for costs (private reproval). sts are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years:
		(Ha Res Cou	ordship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If spondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar out, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.
			sts are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". sts are entirely waived.
(9)	The	partie	es understand that:
	(a)		A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.
	(b)		A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
	(c)		A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
Pro	fess		ing Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Il Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances I.
(1)		Prio	r record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]
	(a)		State Bar Court case # of prior case
	(b)		Date prior discipline effective
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
	(d)		Degree of prior discipline

(Do n	ot write	above this line.)
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
(5)		Indifference : Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(8)	\boxtimes	No aggravating circumstances are involved.
C. I	Mitig	al aggravating circumstances: ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating
CIFC	ums	stances are required.
(1)		No Prior Discipline : Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. Respondent has taken full responsibility for the incident, incudling accepting a plea and entering into this stipulation.
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct. Respondent has taken a parenting class in his effort to become a better parent. The class was not mandated by the State Bar or any other entity.
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.

(Do no	ot write	above this line.)	
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.	
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.	
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.	
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.	
(12)		Rehabilitation : Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.	
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.	
Addi	tiona	al mitigating circumstances:	
		ent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice. was harmed by respondent's conduct.	
D. C)isci	pline:	
(1)		Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)	
	(a)	Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).	
<u>or</u>	(b)	Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).	
(2)	\boxtimes	Public reproval (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)	
E. C	onc	litions Attached to Reproval:	
(1)	\boxtimes	Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of one-year.	
(2)	×	During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.	
(3)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.	
(4)		Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and	

(Do no	t write	above this line.)		
		conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.		
(5)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period.		
		In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.		
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.		
(7)	⊠	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.		
(8)	\boxtimes	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.		
		☐ No Ethics School recommended. Reason:		
(9)	×	Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.		
(10)		Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within on year of the effective date of the reproval.		
Cal.	3d 8'	No MPRE recommended. Reason: not required in this matter (see Segretti v. State Bar 15 78.).		
(11)		The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:		
		☐ Substance Abuse Conditions ☐ Law Office Management Conditions		
		☐ Medical Conditions ☐ Financial Conditions		
F. C	the	r Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:		

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

Anup Tikku

CASE NUMBER(S):

11-C-10413

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 11-C-10413 (Conviction Proceedings)

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND IN CONVICTION PROCEEDING:

- 1. This is a proceeding pursuant to sections 6101 and 6102 of the Business and Professions Code and rule 9.10 of the California Rules of Court.
- 2. On January 5, 2011, Respondent was convicted of violating Penal Code section 242/243 subdivision (a) (battery).
- 3. On March 25, 2011, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order referring the matter to the Hearing Department on the following issues: "[whether] the facts and circumstances surrounding the misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 242/243, subdivision (a) (battery), of which Anup Tikku was convicted, involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline."

FACTS:

- 4. On September 16, 2010, respondent was at home with his child. At the time of the incident respondent was supervising his child in completing a homework assignment. Respondent's son was not cooperating in the completion of the homework. Respondent became frustrated with his child's refusal to complete the homework assignment. Respondent struck his child once or possibly twice, in such a fashion as to leave visible bruising.
- 5. On September 17, 2010, respondent drove his child to school. Upon arriving at school the school teacher noticed the bruising on the child and reported it to the principal. Thereafter the matter was brought to the attention of child protective services.
 - 6. On September 28, 2010, respondent was charged for the incident involving his child.
- 7. On January 5, 2011, respondent pled *nolo contendere* to a violation of Penal Code section 242/43, subdivision (a) (battery). Respondent as part of his plea was placed on two years probation.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

8. The facts and circumstances surrounding the above-described violation did not involve moral turpitude but did involve other misconduct warranting discipline.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was June 9, 2011.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

In re Hickey (1990) 50 Cal.3d 571 – Hickey received a three-year stayed suspension, three-years probation and a 30-day actual suspension for a criminal conviction of carrying a concealed weapon and failure to properly withdraw from legal representation in a client matter. Hickey's criminal offense also involved repeated acts of physical violence toward his wife and others. Hickey's offense and conduct is more serious than that of respondent.

Standard 2.10 – "Culpability of a member of a violation of any provision of the Business and Professions Code not specified in these standards or of a willful violation of any Rule of Professional Conduct not specified in these standards shall result in reproval or suspension according to the gravity of the offense or the harm, if any, to the victim, with due regard to the purposes of imposing discipline set forth in standard 1.3."

Standard 3.4 – "Final conviction of a member of a crime which does not involve moral turpitude inherently or in the facts and circumstances surrounding the crime's commission but which does involve other misconduct warranting discipline shall result in a sanction as prescribed under part B of these standards appropriate to the nature and extent of the misconduct found to have been committed by the member."

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of June 9, 2011, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$2,287. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

In the Matter of: Anup Tikku		Case number(s): 11-C-10413		
		ATURE OF THE PAR		
By their signatures below ecitations and each of th	r, the parties and their re terms and condition	r counsel, as applicable, si ns of this Stipulation Re Fa	gnify their agreement with acts, Conclusions of Law,	neach of the and Disposition
6/10/11	Anny	Li KKU	Anup Tikku	
G [3]	Respondent's Sign	nature	Print Name Ephraim Margolin	
Date /	Respondent's Cou	unsel Signature	Print Name	
6/14/11	Moly	Aleson	Robert Henderson	
Date / /	Deputy Trial Coun	sel's Signature	Print Name	
		•		
	•			

	s line.)	LO Number/a)	
In the Matter of:		Case Number(s): 11-C-10413	
Anup Tikku		11-C-10415	
	RE	PROVAL ORDER	
Finding that the sti attached to the rep prejudice, and:	pulation protects the public and proval, IT IS ORDERED that the	I that the interests of Respondent will be served by a e requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is G	any conditions RANTED witho
The	stipulated facts and disposition	are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED	•
☐ The	stipulated facts and disposition PROVAL IMPOSED.	are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below,	and the
Allo	ourt dates in the Hearing Depa	rtment are vacated.	
	•.		
within 15 days after	er service of this order, is grante ule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Proc	oved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the st ed; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the a edure.) Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective	pproved
Failure to comply proceeding for w	with any conditions attache illful breach of rule 1-110, Ru	d to this reproval may constitute cause for a serules of Professional Conduct.	arate
1.100	20, 2011	fy M	
Date			
		Judge of the State Bar Court	
		•	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on June 20, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

VICKI HUI-WEN YOUNG 240 STOCKTON ST #400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108 - 5306

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ROBERT HENDERSON, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on June 20, 2011.

Laine Silber

Case Administrator State Bar Court