

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO

## STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

## **HEARING DEPARTMENT - SAN FRANCISCO**

In the Matter of	) Case Nos. 11-C-18557-PEM (S249231)
JAN ELIZABETH VAN DUSEN,	) 15-O-10868-PEM (S246187)
A Member of the State Bar, No. 142700.	ORDER RE MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM COSTS AND DIRECTIVE TO COURT SPECIALISTS

On January 10, 2018, a certificate of costs was filed indicating that the costs in State Bar Court case No. 15-O-10868 totaled \$2,549.00. On May 30, 2018, a certificate of costs was filed indicating that the costs in State Bar Court case No. 11-C-18557 totaled \$6,890.00. On September 12, 2018, respondent Jan Elizabeth Van Dusen (Respondent) sought relief from the orders assessing disciplinary costs in State Bar Court case Nos. 11-C-18557 and 15-O-10868. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.130.) Respondent's declaration in support of her motion indicates she is seeking an extension of time to pay disciplinary costs, in the alternative. Respondent's motion is based on financial hardship. (See Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.130(B).) On October 2, 2018, the Office of Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California (OCTC) filed an opposition to Respondent's motion seeking relief from the orders to pay disciplinary costs, but is not in opposition to Respondent's request for an extension of time to pay the assessed costs.

After reviewing the parties' pleadings and good cause having been shown, Respondent's motion for relief from payment of disciplinary costs is **GRANTED**, in part. Respondent's



obligation to pay costs in case Nos. 11-C-18557 and 15-O-10868 is hereby modified and

extended as follows:

The amount of costs in case No. 11-C-18557 is reduced to \$4,596.00. The amount of

costs in case No. 15-O-10868 is reduced to \$1,700.00. The court orders that Respondent's time

to pay the remaining disciplinary costs in case Nos. 11-C-18557 and 15-O-10868 is extended and

that one-third of said costs in each case shall be paid with Respondent's annual State Bar

membership fees for the years 2020, 2021, and 2022.

The court further ORDERS that if Respondent fails to pay any installment of disciplinary

costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to

Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the

costs is due and payable immediately unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure

of the State Bar of California (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.134). The payment of costs

remains enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a

money judgment.

**DIRECTIVE TO COURT SPECIALISTS** 

Because State Bar Court case Nos. 11-C-18557 and 15-O-10868 are not consolidated, the

court DIRECTS its Court Specialists to file a copy of this order in each of those cases.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 18, 2018

Judge of the State Bar Court

-2-

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Court Specialist of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on October 18, 2018, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

ORDER RE MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM COSTS AND DIRECTIVE TO COURT SPECIALIST

in a s	sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:
	by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:
	JAN E. VAN DUSEN 1501 MAGNOLIA ST OAKLAND, CA 94607 - 2226
	by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows:
	by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:
	by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.
	By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having charge of the attorney's office, addressed as follows:
$\boxtimes$	by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:
	Jennifer E. Roque, Enforcement, San Francisco
I hereb Octobe	by certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on er 18, 2018.
	Court Specialist

Court Specialist State Bar Court