

1. Respondent's motion to set aside the stipulation is **DENIED**. The stipulated discipline of disbarment and the disbarment recommendation stand.
2. Respondent's motion to change the effective date of his inactive enrollment is **GRANTED**. On page 15 (Disbarment Order) of the stipulation, the last paragraph, "three (3) calendar days after this order is served by mail" is deleted and substituted in its place: "March 1, 2012." Thus, the sentence reads as follows:

"Respondent's inactive enrollment will be effective March 1, 2012, and will terminate upon the effective date of the Supreme Court's order imposing discipline herein, or as provided for by rule 5.111(D)(2) of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, or as otherwise ordered by the Supreme Court pursuant to its plenary jurisdiction."

Accordingly, the original effective date of respondent's inactive enrollment (November 12, 2011) is retroactively vacated and respondent is to return to active membership status.

The order of inactive enrollment will take effect on **March 1, 2012**.

3. In view of respondent's anticipated disbarment and for public protection, these additional stipulated requirements are added to page 5 of the stipulation and **respondent must comply with them before March 1, 2012:**

- Close respondent's law offices;
- Find substituting attorneys to handle his cases;
- Return any unearned legal fees to clients;
- Accept no new clients; and
- Cease the practice of law, except for limited purposes in *Mabry v. Aurora Loan Services*, Orange County Superior Court, case No. 30-2009-003090696.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 8, 2011



LUCY ARMENDARIZ
Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on December 8, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

MODIFICATION ORDER RE STIPULATION

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

MOSES S. HALL
LAW OFC MOSES S HALL
2651 E CHAPMAN AVE #110
FULLERTON, CA 92831 - 3738

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

AGUSTIN HERNANDEZ, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on December 8, 2011.



Bernadette C.O. Molina
Case Administrator
State Bar Court