

**FILED**

**APR 17 2014**

**STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE  
SAN FRANCISCO**

**STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
HEARING DEPARTMENT – SAN FRANCISCO**

In the Matter of	)	Case No.: <b>11-O-18011</b>
<b>Bruce Walter Ebert,</b>	)	<b>Order Re Disciplinary Costs</b>
<b>Member No. 151576,</b>	)	
<u>A Member of the State Bar.</u>	)	

On December 3, 2013, the Supreme Court filed an order in case No. S213504 (State Bar Court case No. 11-O-18011), suspending respondent from the practice of law for two years, staying the execution of that suspension, and ordering, among other things, that respondent be placed on probation for a period of two years with conditions, including that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for the first four months of his probation. The Supreme Court order also awarded costs to the State Bar.

On February 7, 2014, respondent filed a Motion to Defray Costs (cost motion). However, respondent's financial statement was rejected for numerous reasons as defective. On February 27, 2014, the State Bar of California, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel (State Bar) filed an opposition to respondent's February 7<sup>th</sup> cost motion. On March 5, 2014, the court denied respondent's cost motion without prejudice.

kwiktag® 048 622 012



On March 6, 2014, respondent filed a Corrected Notice and Motion to Defray Costs Across Time, a declaration in support thereof, as well as a Corrected Financial Declaration in Support of Motion for Relief from Extension of Time to Pay Disciplinary Costs.

On April 1, 2014, the State Bar, by and through deputy trial counsel Treva R. Stewart, filed an opposition to respondent's corrected motion.

Having considered respondent's Corrected Motion to Defray Costs and the State Bar's opposition thereto, as well as all pleadings filed relating to respondent's motion, the court finds that respondent has established hardship, special circumstances, and other good cause under rule 5.130(B) of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar as to warrant the following order:

In view of respondent's financial hardship, the court Grants an extension of time to respondent to pay the disciplinary costs ordered in Supreme Court case No. S213504 (State Bar Court case No. 11-O-18011). Specifically, respondent must pay the disciplinary costs in **two equal installments** along with his membership fees commencing with the 2015 billing cycle. In accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, one-half of the costs must be paid with petitioner's membership fees for the years **2015 and 2016**.

It is further **ORDERED** that if respondent fails to pay any installment of disciplinary costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and payable immediately unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.134.) The payment of costs is enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: April 16, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
LUCY ARMENDARIZ  
Judge of the State Bar Court

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on April 17, 2014, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

**ORDER RE DISCIPLINARY COSTS**

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

BRUCE W. EBERT  
300 HARDING BLVD STE 116  
ROSEVILLE, CA 95678

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

TREVA STEWART, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on April 17, 2014.



---

Mazie Yip  
Case Administrator  
State Bar Court