



**FILED**  
✓  
AUG - 1 2016

**STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA**

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE  
SAN FRANCISCO

**HEARING DEPARTMENT – SAN FRANCISCO**

In the Matter of	)	Case No.: 11-O-18011-LMA
	)	
<b>BRUCE WALTER EBERT,</b>	)	<b>ORDER RE DISCIPLINARY COSTS</b>
	)	
<b>Member No. 151576</b>	)	
	)	
<u>A Member of the State Bar.</u>	)	

On June 29, 2016, petitioner Bruce Walter Ebert sought relief from or an extension of time to comply with the order assessing disciplinary costs in State Bar Court case No. 11-O-18011. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.130.) Petitioner’s motion was based on financial hardship. (See Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.130(B).) On July 14, 2016, the Office of Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California (State Bar) filed a response. In its response, the State Bar opposed full or partial relief from costs, but did not oppose an extension of time to pay.


After reviewing the parties’ pleadings, the court issues the following orders:

1. Petitioner’s motion for full or partial relief from payment of disciplinary costs is **DENIED**, no good cause having been shown; and
2. Good cause having been shown, the court **GRANTS** an extension of time for Petitioner to comply with the order to pay disciplinary costs. The court orders that Petitioner’s time to pay the remaining disciplinary costs associated with case No. 11-O-18011 be extended

and that costs are to be paid with Petitioner's annual State Bar membership fees for the year 2017. The court further **ORDERS** that if Petitioner fails to pay the disciplinary costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and payable immediately unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.134). The payment of costs remains enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.<sup>1</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 1, 2016

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
LUCY ARMENDARIZ  
Judge of the State Bar Court

---

<sup>1</sup> On July 13, 2016, Petitioner filed an "emergency ex parte motion to lift suspension." And on July 28, 2016, Petitioner filed a "motion requesting a decision in the immediate future on the motion regarding fees and the emergency ex parte motion." Neither of these motions cited any authority, and both are **DENIED**, as moot.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on August 1, 2016, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

**ORDER RE DISCIPLINARY COSTS**

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

BRUCE W. EBERT  
300 HARDING BLVD STE 116  
ROSEVILLE, CA 95678

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

TREVA STEWART, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on August 1, 2016.



---

Mazie Yip  
Case Administrator  
State Bar Court