PUBLIC MATTER

1	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA	FILED			
2	OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL JAYNE KIM, No. 174614	OCT 1 6 2013			
3	CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309	STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE			
4	DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL MELANIE J. LAWRENCE, No. 230102	LOS ANGELES			
5	ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL ADRIANA M. BURGER, No. 92534				
6	DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL 1149 South Hill Street				
7	Los Angeles, California 90015-2299 Telephone: (213) 765-1229				
8					
9	STATE BAR COURT				
10	: HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES				
11					
12	In the Matter of:) Case No. 13-O-11078			
13	MICHAELANNE CAHILL,)) NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES			
14	No. 208893,				
15	A Member of the State Bar.				
16	NOTICE - FAIL	LURE TO RESPOND!			
17	IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL:				
18					
19	(1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE E	NTERED;			
20	WILL NOT BE PERMITTED	CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU TO PRACTICE LAW;			
21	THESE PROCEEDINGS UNL	TED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN ESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION			
22	AND THE DEFAULT IS SET A (4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT	CT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE.			
23	SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAI OR VACATE YOUR DEFAU	IL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE ULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN			
24	ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT FURTHER HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ.,				
25	RULES OF PROCEDURE OF	THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.			
26					
27		kwiktag* 152 149 898			
<i>- 1</i>					

-1-

1 The State Bar of California alleges: 2 **JURISDICTION** 3 1. MICHAELANNE CAHILL ("Respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the 4 State of California on December 1, 2000, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, 5 and is currently a member of the State Bar of California. 6 COUNT ONE 7 Case No. 13-O-11078 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A) [Failure to Perform with Competence] 9 10 2. On or about May 16, 2012, John Cantu employed Respondent to perform legal 11 services, namely to represent Cantu in his marital dissolution, which Respondent intentionally, 12 recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence, in willful violation of 13 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A), by performing no legal services of value on behalf 14 of the client. COUNT TWO 15 Case No. 13-O-11078 16 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m) [Failure to Respond to Client Inquiries] 17 18 3. Respondent failed to respond promptly to approximately ten (10) reasonable status 19 inquiries made by Respondent's client, John Cantu, between August 7, 2012 and January 26, 20 2013, that Respondent received, in a matter in which Respondent had agreed to provide legal 21 services, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m). 22 // 23 // 24 // 25 // 26 // 27 // 28

-2-

COUNT THREE

Case No. 13-O-11078 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]

4. On or about May 16, 2012, Respondent received advanced fees of \$5,000 from a client, John Cantu, for purposes of representing Cantu in his marital dissolution. Respondent performed no services of value on behalf of the client and therefore earned none of the advanced fees paid. Respondent failed to refund promptly, upon Respondent's termination of employment on or about January 26, 2013, any part of the \$5,000 fee, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2).

COUNT FOUR

Case No. 13-O-11078 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i) [Failure to Update Membership Address]

5. On or about January 1, 2012, Respondent vacated Respondent's office at the address maintained on the official membership records of the State Bar and thereafter failed to comply with the requirements of Business and Professions Code section 6002.1, by failing to notify the State Bar of the change in Respondent's address within 30 days, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(j).

NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!

YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.

// 26 // 27 //

28

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!

IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.

Respectfully submitted,

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED: October 15, 2013

By: THU MWW. DO ADRIANA M. BURGER

Deputy Trial Counsel

, į 🛴

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

by

U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL / U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL / OVERNIGHT DELIVERY / FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

CASE NUMBER(s): 13-O-11078

	the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within Angeles, California 90015, declare that:	n action, whose business address and place	ce of employment is the State Bar of			
- on the date shown below	, I caused to be served a true copy of the within documen	described as follows:				
NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES						
By U.S. First-Class Mai in accordance with the pof Los Angeles.	il: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) ractice of the State Bar of California for collection and pro	By U.S. Certified Mail: (Cessing of mail, I deposited or placed for c	CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) ollection and mailing in the City and County			
By Overnight Delivery: - I am readily familiar with	(CCP §§ 1013(c) and 1013(d)) the State Bar of California's practice for collection and pr	ocessing of correspondence for overnight (delivery by the United Parcel Service ("UPS").			
By Fax Transmission: (CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f)) Based on agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed herein below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. The original record of the fax transmission is retained on file and available upon request.						
By Electronic Service: Based on a court order or a addresses listed herein below. I did	(CCP § 1010.6) an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission	transmission, I caused the documents to n, any electronic message or other indication	be sent to the person(s_ at the electronic ion that the transmission was unsuccessful.			
(for U.S. First-Class Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below)						
(for Cartified Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, Article No.: 71969008911164098096 at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below)						
(for Overnight Delivery) Tracking No.:	together with a copy of this declaration, in an envel	ope, or package designated by UPS, addressed to: (see below)				
Person Served	Business-Residential Address	Fax Number	Courtesy Copy to:			
MichaelAnne Cahill	Law Office of Michael Anne Cahill 1 World Trade Ctr Ste 800 Long Beach, CA 90831	Electronic Address				
via inter-office mail regularly processed and maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to:						
N/A						
I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day, and for overnight delivery, deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for, with UPS that same day.						
I am aware that on motion after date of deposit for mailing con	of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal dained in the affidavit.	cancellation date or postage meter date on	the envelope or package is more than one day			
I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles,						
California, on the date shown be		1. 1				
DATED: October 16,	2013 SIGNEI	JULI FINNILA				
		JULI FINNILA Declarant				