

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO

STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES

In the Matter of)	Case No. 14-O-03661-LMA
CATHERINE IRENE DENEVI,)	ORDER RE RESPONDENT'S
)	MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AND
A Member of the State Bar, No. 222539.)	OR EXTENSION OF TIME TO
)	COMPLY WITH COSTS; STAY ON
)	FILING OF MONEY JUDGMENT

On February 20, 2018, respondent Catherine Irene Denevi (respondent) sought relief from or an extension of time to comply with the order assessing disciplinary costs in State Bar Court case No. 14-O-03661. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.130.) Respondent's motion was based on financial hardship. (See Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.130(B).) Respondent also requested a stay on the filing of a money judgment against her for nonpayment. On March 7, 2018, the Office of Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California (State Bar) filed a response indicating that it did not oppose an extension of time for respondent to pay costs.

After reviewing the parties' pleadings, the court issues the following orders:

- 1. Respondent's motion for relief from payment of disciplinary costs is **DENIED**, no good cause having been shown;
- 2. Good cause having been shown, the court **GRANTS** an extension of time for respondent to comply with the order to pay disciplinary costs. The court orders that respondent's time to pay the remaining disciplinary costs associated with case No. 14-O-03661 be extended and that one-third of said costs is to be paid with respondent's annual State Bar membership fees



for the years 2019, 2020, and 2021. The court further **ORDERS** that if respondent fails to pay any installment of disciplinary costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and payable immediately unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.134). The payment of costs remains enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

3. Respondent's motion for stay on the filing of a money judgment against her is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March _______, 2018

LUCY ARMENDARIZ

Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Court Specialist of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on March 29, 2018, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

ORDER RE RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AND OR EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLY WITH COSTS; STAY ON FILING OF MONEY JUDGMENT

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

HELANE A. SIMON HELANE SIMON, ATTORNEY AT LAW 1351 ROCKY POINT DR OCEANSIDE, CA 92056 - 5864

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

MURRAY B. GREENBERG, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on March 29, 2018.

Bernadette Molina Court Specialist State Bar Court