

1 Susan L. Margolis, No. 104629
2 Arthur L. Margolis, No. 057703
3 MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS LLP
4 2000 Riverside Drive
5 Los Angeles, CA 90039
6 Tel. (323) 953-8996

7 Counsel for Respondent

FILED

MAR 18 2016

STATE BAR COURT
CLERK'S OFFICE
LOS ANGELES

8 **THE STATE BAR COURT**
9 **OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES**

11 **In the Matter of**) **Case No. 15-0-11448-DFM**
12) **RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF**
13 **PHILLIP E. KOEBEL**) **DISCIPLINARY CHARGES**
14 **No. 249899**)
15 **A Member of the State Bar**)

16 All further notices in relation to this proceeding are to be sent to:

17 Arthur L. Margolis, Esq.
18 MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS LLP
19 Attorneys at Law
20 2000 Riverside Drive
21 Los Angeles, CA 900039

22 **RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES**

23 **JURISDICTION**

24 1. Responding to Paragraph 1 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges,
25 Respondent admits the allegations stated in that paragraph.

26 **COUNT ONE**

27 2. Responding to Paragraph 2 of Count One of the Notice of
28 Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that
paragraph.



1 Additionally, and independently, the case of In re Cuevas was being
 2 monitored by the Bar for several months before the sanction against Mr.
 3 Koebel became effective. The matter was entirely visible to the Bar which was
 4 taking an active interest in it.

5 There was no need to notify the Bar of what it already knew --- "The law
 6 neither does nor requires idle acts." (CCP section 3532) Further, "The law
 7 disregards trifles." (CCP section 3533)
 8

9 **COUNT TWO**

10 3. Responding to Paragraph 3 of Count Two of the Notice of
 11 Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that
 12 paragraph. Further, among other things, Respondent was represented by
 13 counsel in Koebel v. MTC Financial, et al.

14 **COUNT THREE**

15 4. Responding to Paragraph 4 of Count Three of the Notice of
 16 Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that
 17 paragraph. Further, among other things, Respondent was represented by
 18 counsel in Koebel v. MTC Financial, et al.
 19

20 **MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS LLP**

21 **March 15, 2016**

22 **By:** Arthur L. Margolis
 23 **ARTHUR L. MARGOLIS**
 24 **Counsel for Respondent**

25
 26
 27
 28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

Case No. 15-0-11448-DFM

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years, and am not a party to the within action. My business address is: 2000 Riverside Drive, Los Angeles, California, 90039-3758.

On March 15, 2016, I served the foregoing document described as:
RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES on the State Bar of California, by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Mail located at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

Hugh Radigan
Deputy Trial Counsel
THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
845 South Figueroa Street
Los Angeles, California 90017-2515

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 15th day of March, 2015.

Arthur L. Margulis