PUBLIC MATTER



1 STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL 2 GREGORY DRESSER, No. 136532 INTERIM CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL FILED MELANIE J. LAWRENCE, No. 230102 3 ACTING DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL 4 JOHN T. KELLEY, No. 193646 JAN 12 2017 ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL BROOKE A. SCHAFER, No. 194824 STATE BAR COURT SUPERVISING SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL CLERK'S OFFICE ALEX HACKERT, No. 267342 LOS ANGELES DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL MICHAELA F. CARPIO, No. 304677 DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL 8 845 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, California 90017-2515 9 Telephone: (213) 765-1498 10 11 STATE BAR COURT 12 **HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES** 13 14 In the Matter of: Case No. 16-O-10875 15 GEORGE AWAD SABA, NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES No. 134316, 16 17 A Member of the State Bar. **NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!** 18 19 IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL: 20 (1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED; 21 (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW; 22 (3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION 23 AND THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND; (4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE. 24 SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN 25 ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT 26 FURTHER HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ., RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.

27

28

The State Bar of California alleges:

JURISDICTION

1. George Awad Saba ("respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on June 14, 1988, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

COUNT ONE

Case No. 16-O-10875
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(c)
[Maintaining an Unjust Action]

2. Respondent failed to counsel or maintain such action, proceedings, or defenses only as appear to respondent legal or just, when on or about December 11, 2014, he filed the notice of appeal on behalf of the plaintiffs in *Viorel Bucur et al.*, v. *Mirza Shamin Ahmad*, Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One case no. D068689, and thereafter continued to prosecute the appeal, when respondent knew that the appeal was frivolous or had no merit, as the plaintiffs' claims were barred by the doctrines of res judicata, judicial admissions and judicial estoppel, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(c).

COUNT TWO

Case No. 16-O-10875
Business and Professions Code, section 6103
[Failure to Obey a Court Order]

3. Respondent disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring respondent to do or forbear an act connected with or in the course of respondent's profession, which respondent ought in good faith to do or forbear, by failing to comply with an order dated January 16, 2016, requiring respondent and/or his clients, jointly and severally to pay sanctions in the amount of \$31,311 to defendant and respondent Mirza Shamim Ahmad and \$25,000 to the clerk of court of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, no later than 30 days of the remitter being issued, in *Viorel Bucur, et al. v. Mirza Shamim Ahmad*, Court of Appeal of the State of California, case no. D068689, as the sanctions were not paid within 30 days by respondent, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6103.

1 COUNT THREE 2 Case No. 16-O-10875 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(o)(3) 3 [Failure to Report Judicial Sanctions] 4 4. Respondent failed to report to the agency charged with attorney discipline, in writing, 5 within 30 days of the time respondent had knowledge of the imposition of judicial sanctions 6 against respondent by failing to report to the State Bar the \$56,311 in non-discovery sanctions 7 the court imposed on respondent on or about January 26, 2016, in connection with Viorel Bucur, 8 et al. v. Mirza Shamim Ahmad, Court of Appeal of the State of California, case no. D068689, in 9 willful violation of Business and Professions Code section, 6068(o)(3). 10 **NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!** 11 YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE 12 SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO 13 THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE 14 ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT. 15 16 **NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!** 17 **EVENT** THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS 18 INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND 19 PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10. 20 Respectfully submitted, 21 THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL 22 23 24 DATED: January 12, 2017 25 **Deputy Trial Counsel** 26 27 DATED: January 12, 2017 28

Deputy Trial Counsel

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

 $by \\ U.S.\ FIRST-CLASS\ MAIL\ /\ U.S.\ CERTIFIED\ MAIL\ /\ OVERNIGHT\ DELIVERY\ /\ FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC\ TRANSMISSION$

CASE NUMBER(s): 16-O-10875

	- on the date shown below, I caused	to be served a true copy of the within document des	cribed as follows:	
CANAGA SANDARAKA PARA	· "我们们就是我们的我们的,我们就是这种的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们也没有一个人,我们们就是我们的人,我们也不是什么。" - "我们们就是我们的,我们就是我们就是我们就是我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们也不是什么的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我	NOTICE OF DISCIPLINA		artikan kanan kanan Kanan kanan ka
	By U.S. First-Class Mail: (CCP - in accordance with the practice of - of Los Angeles.	§§ 1013 and 1013(a)) the State Bar of California for collection and processi	By U.S. Certified ng of mail, I deposited or pla	Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) ced for collection and mailing in the City and County
	By Overnight Delivery: (CCP §9 - I am readily familiar with the State	§ 1013(c) and 1013(d)) Bar of Califomia's practice for collection and process	ing of correspondence for ov	vernight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'
	By Fax Transmission: (CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f)) Based on agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed herein below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. The original record of the fax transmission is retained on file and available upon request.			
	By Electronic Service: (CCP § and a court order or an agreeme addresses listed herein below. I did no unsuccessful.	1010.6) Int of the parties to accept service by electronic trans of receive, within a reasonable time after the transmis	mission, I caused the docum sion, any electronic messago	nents to be sent to the person(s) at the electronic e or other indication that the transmission was
	(for Certified Mail) in a sealed en	ed envelope placed for collection and mailing a nvelope placed for collection and mailing as cented 1266 9904 2010 0783 95 at Los Angelo	_	requested,
	(for Overnight Delivery) together w	ith a copy of this declaration, in an envelope, o	•	,
	Person Served	Business-Residential Address	Fax Number	COURTESY COPY VIA REGULAR 1st CLASS MAIL
George Awad Saba		2514 Glenbush Circle Corona, CA 92882	Electronic Address	
overnight (delivery by the United Parcel Service (of California's practice for collection and processing on UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar of Cal ties Postal Service that same day, and for overnight	formia's practice correspond	lence collected and processed by the State Bar of
after date	am aware that on motion of the party s of deposit for mailing contained in the a	erved, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellati affidavit.	on date or postage meter da	te on the envelope or package is more than one day
	declare under penalty of perjury, u on the date shown below.	nder the laws of the State of California, that the		•
DATE	D: January 12, 2017	SIGNED: Sai	Januar Rey dra Reynolds clarant	hold