THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL CHARLES A. MURRAY 845 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, California 90017-2515 Telephone: (213) 765-1000 ORIGINAL
FILED
MAR 21 2011
STATE BAR COURT
CLERK'S OFFICE

LOS ANGELES

IN THE STATE BAR COURT OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE CONVICTION OF:	Case No. 17-C-00853
DOUGLAS ARTHUR SCHENCK, No. 200699,	<ul> <li>Transmittal of Records of Conviction of Attorney (Bus. &amp; Prof.</li> <li>Code §§ 6101-6102; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.5 et seq.)</li> </ul>
A Member of the State Bar.	<ul> <li>[ ] Felony;</li> <li>[ ] Crime(s) involved moral turpitude;</li> <li>[ ] Probable cause to believe the crime(s) involved moral turpitude;</li> <li>[ X] Crime(s) which may or may not involve moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline;</li> <li>[ X] Transmittal of Notice of Finality of Conviction.</li> </ul>
To the CLERK OF THE STATE BAR	COURT:
1. Transmittal of records.	
[X] A. Pursuant to the provisions of	f Business and Professions Code, section 6101-6102 and California
Rules of Court, rule 9.5 et s	seq., the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel transmits a certified copy of f the following member of the Bar and for such consideration and action
Rules of Court, rule 9.5 et s the record of convictions of	seq., the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel transmits a certified copy of f the following member of the Bar and for such consideration and action
Rules of Court, rule 9.5 et s the record of convictions of as the Court deems appropri	seq., the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel transmits a certified copy of f the following member of the Bar and for such consideration and action riate:
Rules of Court, rule 9.5 et s the record of convictions of as the Court deems appropr  [ ] B. Notice of Appeal	seq., the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel transmits a certified copy of f the following member of the Bar and for such consideration and action riate:
Rules of Court, rule 9.5 et s the record of convictions of as the Court deems appropr  [ ] B. Notice of Appeal  [X] C. Evidence of Finality of Conv  [X] D. Other	seq., the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel transmits a certified copy of f the following member of the Bar and for such consideration and action riate:
Rules of Court, rule 9.5 et s the record of convictions of as the Court deems appropr  [ ] B. Notice of Appeal  [X] C. Evidence of Finality of Conv  [X] D. Other	seq., the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel transmits a certified copy of the following member of the Bar and for such consideration and action riate:  viction (Minutes/Docket)  ARTHUR SCHENCK
Rules of Court, rule 9.5 et s the record of convictions of as the Court deems appropr  [ ] B. Notice of Appeal  [X] C. Evidence of Finality of Conv  [X] D. Other  Name of Member: DOUGLAS	seq., the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel transmits a certified copy of the following member of the Bar and for such consideration and action riate:  viction (Minutes/Docket)  ARTHUR SCHENCK
Rules of Court, rule 9.5 et s the record of convictions of as the Court deems appropr  [ ] B. Notice of Appeal  [X] C. Evidence of Finality of Conv  [X] D. Other  Name of Member: DOUGLAS  Date member admitted to practice	seq., the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel transmits a certified copy of f the following member of the Bar and for such consideration and action riate:  viction (Minutes/Docket)  ARTHUR SCHENCK  law in California: April 30, 1999

The record of conviction reflects that the above-named member of the State Bar was convicted as follows:

Date of entry of conviction:

July 7, 2014



Convicting court:

Superior Court, County of Orange

Case number(s):

14 WM02422

Crime(s) of which convicted and classification(s): Violation of Vehicle Code §23152(a) (Driving under the Influence of Alcohol); Vehicle Code §23152(b) (Driving with Blood Alcohol of .08% or more), misdemeanors which may or may not involve moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline as in In re Kelly (1990) 52 Cal.3d 487; and Vehicle Code §12500(a) (Drive Motor Vehicle without a Valid License), a misdemeanor.

## [ ] 3. Compliance with Rule 9.20. (Applicable only if checked.)

We bring to the Court's attention that, should the Court enter an order of interim suspension herein, the Court may wish to require the above-named member to comply with the provisions of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, paragraph (a), within 30 days of the effective date of any such order; and to file the affidavit with the Clerk of the State Bar Court provided for in paragraph (c) of rule 9.20 within 40 days of the effective date of said order, showing the member's compliance with the provisions of rule 9.20.

## [X] 4. Other information to assist the State Bar Court

Because the court clerk has declined to complete the State Bar's Notice of Lack of Appeal form, the complete minutes/docket certified on March 13, 2017 is being presented as proof that no party has filed an appeal within 30 days after pronouncement of Judgment on July 7, 2014 (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.853).

## **DOCUMENTS TRANSMITTED:**

Certified Complaint Certified Minutes/Docket Certified Plea

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED:

3/22/2017

BY:

Senior Trial Counsel

## DECLARATION OF SERVICE

U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL / U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL / OVERNIGHT DELIVERY / FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

CASE NUMBER(s): 17-C-00853

I, the undersigned, am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 845 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, California 90017-2515, declare that: - on the date shown below, I caused to be served a true copy of the within document described as follows: TRANSMITTAL OF RECORDS OF CONVICTION OF ATTORNEY; Certified Complaint, Certified Minutes/Docket, Certified Plea By U.S. Certified Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) By U.S. First-Class Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County By Overnight Delivery: (CCP §§ 1013(c) and 1013(d)) - I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'). By Fax Transmission: (CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f)) Based on agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed herein below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. The original record of the fax transmission is retained on file and available upon request. By Electronic Service: (CCP § 1010.6) Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the person(s) at the electronic addresses listed herein below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was (for U.S. First-Class Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below) (for Certified Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below) 9414-7266-9904-2010-0822-24 (for Overnight Delivery) together with a copy of this declaration, in an envelope, or package designated by UPS, addressed to: (see below) Tracking No.:

I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day, and for overnight delivery, deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for, with UPS that same day.

I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below.

**Business-Residential Address** 

Law Offices of Douglas A. Schenck

2901 W. Coast Hwy., #200

Newport Beach, CA 92663

DATED: March 21, 2017

Person Served

**Douglas Arthur Schenck** 

SIGNED:

Kathi Palacios

Fax Number

**Electronic Address**