State	Bar Court of Californ Hearing Department Los Angeles ACTUAL SUSPENSION	nia
Counsel For The State Bar  Terese Laubscher 845 S. Figueroa St. Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 765-1239  Bar # 272207  Counsel For Respondent  Arthur Margolis 2000 Riverside Drive Los Angeles, CA 90039 (323) 953-8996	Case Number(s): 17-O-01680 17-O-03667	For Court use only  UBLIC MATTER  FILED  MAY 03 2018  STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES
Bar # 57703  In the Matter of: SARA ELIOT  Bar # 230157  A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	Submitted to: Assigned Judge  STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING  ACTUAL SUSPENSION  PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority." etc.

## A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted February 20, 2004. (1)
- The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or (2)disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 13 pages, not including the order.
- A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of (5)Law".

(וו סעו	ot w	e above this line.)		
(6)	TI "S	e parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading poorting Authority."		
(7)	Ne pe	No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.		
(8)	Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 8 6140.7. (Check one option only):			
		Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.  Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: two (2) billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court Order. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.  Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".		
M	isc	Costs are entirely waived.  avating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional onduct, standards 1.2(h) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are red.		
(1)	□ (a)	Prior record of discipline  State Bar Court case # of prior case		
(	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective		
(	c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:		
(	d)	Degree of prior discipline		
(	e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.		
(2) [		ntentional/Bad Faith/Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was dishonest, intentional, or surrounded by, or followed by bad faith.		
(3) [		disrepresentation: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, misrepresentation.		
(4) [		Concealment: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, concealment.		
(5)		Overreaching: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, overreaching.		
(6)	]	Incharged Violations: Respondent's conduct involves uncharged violations of the Business and Professions Code, or the Rules of Professional Conduct.		
(7) [		rust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or roperty.		

(Do	not wr	ite above this line.)
(8)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public, or the administration of justice.
(9)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the
(10)		consequences of his or her misconduct.  Candor/Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct, or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations or proceedings.
(11)	$\boxtimes$	<b>Multiple Acts:</b> Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing. See Attachment at page 11.
(12)		Pattern: Respondent's current misconduct demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(13)		Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution.
(14)		Vulnerable Victim: The victim(s) of Respondent's misconduct was/were highly vulnerable.
(15)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
C. N	litig	al aggravating circumstances:  (ating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(i) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating limits and
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled
. ,		with present misconduct which is not likely to recur.
(2)	$\boxtimes$	No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice. See Attachment at page 11.
(3)		<b>Candor/Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct or `to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations and proceedings.
(4)		<b>Remorse:</b> Respondent promptly took objective steps demonstrating spontaneous remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		<b>Restitution:</b> Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		<b>Delay:</b> These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and objectively reasonable.
8)	1	<b>Emotional/Physical Difficulties:</b> At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.

(Do r	not wi	rite abo	ove this line.)
(9)		WI	vere Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress ich resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and ich were directly responsible for the misconduct.
(10)		Fa pe	mily Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her rsonal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11)		Go in t	od Character: Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references he legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
(12)		Re	habilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred owed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
(13)		No	mitigating circumstances are involved.
Addi	ition	al mi	tigating circumstances:
	F	lo Pr Pretri	ior Record of Discipline, see Attachment at page 11. al Stipulation, see Attachment at page 11.
D. D	isc	iplin	e:
(1)	$\boxtimes$	Sta	yed Suspension:
	(a)	$\boxtimes$	Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one (1) year.
		i.	and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
		ii.	and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
		iii.	and until Respondent does the following:
	(b)	$\boxtimes$	The above-referenced suspension is stayed.
(2)	$\boxtimes$	Prob	pation:
	Res date	pond of th	ent must be placed on probation for a period of <b>two (2) years</b> , which will commence upon the effective e Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)
3)	X	Actu	al Suspension:
(	(a)		Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of <b>ninety (90) days</b> .
		i.	and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
		ii.	and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.

(Do	(Do not write above this line.)			
		iii. and until Respondent does the following:		
E.	Add	ditional Conditions of Probation:		
(1)		If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.		
(2)	$\boxtimes$	During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.		
(3)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.		
(4)		Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.		
(5)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.		
		In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.		
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.		
(7)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.		
(8)		Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.		
		No Ethics School recommended. Reason:		
9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.		

(Do n	ot write	above	this line.)		
(10)	$\boxtimes$	The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:			
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions
			Medical Conditions	$\boxtimes$	Financial Conditions
F. C	ther	Con	ditions Negotiated by the Parties	<b>s:</b>	
(1)		Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.			
			lo MPRE recommended. Reason:		
(2)		Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.			
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.			
(4)		Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:			
5)		Othe	r Conditions:		

(Do not write above this line.)			···
In the Matter of: SARA ELIOT		Case Number(s): 17-O-01680 17-O-03667	
Financial Conditions		#	
a. Restitution			
payee(s) listed below or any portion of the	v. If the Client Security Fund	ncipal amount, plus interest of 10% per ("CSF") has reimbursed one or more of ow, Respondent must also pay restitution.	the navee(s) for all
Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From	
			-
			_
			_
			-
			-
			_
-			_
			-
b. Installment Restitution I	an Payments	and provide satisfactory proof of paym	
must provide satisfact as otherwise directed probation (or period o	tory proof of payment to the O by the Office of Probation. N	tion on the payment schedule set forth iffice of Probation with each quarterly properties to the expiration of the expi	robation report, or
Payee/CSF (as app	licable) Minimum Paymen	t Amount   Payment Frequency	7
			1
		4	-
			-
			_
			+
			†
			]

<u>(D</u>	not write abo	ve this line.)
	<u> </u>	
	☐ If Res	pondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, maining balance is due and payable immediately.
C.	Client Fu	nds Certificate
	□ 1.	If Respondent possesses client funds at any time during the period covered by a required quarterly report, Respondent must file with each required report a certificate from Respondent and/or a certificate public accountant or other financial professional approved by the Office of Probation, certifying that:
		<ul> <li>Respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized to do business in the State of California, at a branch located within the State of California, and that such account is designated as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account";</li> </ul>
		b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
		<ol> <li>A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:</li> <li>the name of such client;</li> <li>the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;</li> <li>the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,</li> </ol>
		<ul> <li>4. the current balance for such client.</li> <li>ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:</li> <li>1. the name of such account;</li> </ul>
		<ol> <li>the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,</li> <li>the current balance in such account.</li> </ol>
		<ul> <li>all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,</li> <li>each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.</li> </ul>
		c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
		i. each item of security and property held;
		ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
		iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
		iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
		v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
	2.	If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.

3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

#### d. Client Trust Accounting School

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

### **ATTACHMENT TO**

# STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

**SARA ELIOT** 

CASE NUMBERS:

17-O-01680; 17-O-03667

#### FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that she is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

### Case No. 17-O-01680 (State Bar Investigation)

#### FACTS:

- 1. During the relevant time period, respondent maintained a client trust account at U.S. Bank, account no. xxxx9094 ("U.S. Bank CTA").
- 2. Between July 22, 2016 and March 20, 2017, respondent issued the following payments from her U.S. Bank CTA for the payment of personal expenses:

<u>DATE</u>	PAYMENT TYPE	PAYEE	AMOUNT
07/22/2016	Check #0000	California Worker Advocates	\$4,000.00
08/23/2016	Check #344	California Worker Advocates	\$3,000.00
09/20/2016	Check #349	California Worker Advocates	\$3,000.00
10/24/2016	Check #362	California Worker Advocates	\$3,000.00
11/17/2016	Check #367	California Worker Advocates	\$16,000.00
12/30/2016	Check #436	California Worker Advocates	\$4,500.00
01/23/2017	Check #441	California Worker Advocates	\$2,000.00
01/31/2017	Online payment	Macy's	\$100.00
02/14/2017	Check #446	California Worker Advocates	\$4,000.00
02/27/2017	Online payment	Macy's	\$700.00
03/03/2017	Check #449	California Worker Advocates	\$4,000.00
03/20/2017	Online payment	Macy's	\$100.00
		-	4

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

3. By issuing nine checks and authorizing online payments from her U.S. Bank CTA for the payment of personal expenses, respondent willfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A).

### Case No. 17-O-03667 (State Bar Investigation)

#### **FACTS:**

4. During the relevant time period, respondent maintained a client trust account at U.S. Bank, account no. xxxx9094 ("U.S. Bank CTA").

5. Between June 13, 2017 and June 15, 2017, respondent issued the following checks from her U.S. Bank CTA for the payment of personal expenses:

DATE	PAYMENT TYPE	PAYEE	AMOUNT
06/13/2017	Check #373	California Worker Advocates	\$4,800.00
06/15/2017	Check #374	California Worker Advocates	\$4,800.00

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

6. By issuing two checks from her U.S. Bank CTA for the payment of personal expenses, respondent willfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A).

#### AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Multiple Acts of Wrongdoing (Std. 1.5(b)): Over the course of one year, respondent issued eleven checks and authorized online payments from her client trust account for the payment of personal expenses. Respondent's multiple acts of misconduct are an aggravating circumstance.

#### MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

No Prior Discipline: On February 20, 2004 the State Bar of California admitted respondent to the practice of law in California. At the time of the misconduct, respondent had practiced law in California for twelve years without discipline, though she was on voluntary inactive status for approximately a year and a half of that time. Respondent's approximately ten years of discipline free practice is worth significant weight in mitigation. (See *Hawes v. State Bar* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 587, 596 [attorney's ten years of discipline-free practice warranted significant weight in mitigation].)

No Harm (Std. 1.6(c)): There is no evidence of any harm to a client, a court, or the administration of justice.

**Pretrial Stipulation:** By entering into this stipulation, respondent has acknowledged misconduct and is entitled to mitigation for recognition of wrongdoing and saving the State Bar significant resources and time. (Silva-Vidor v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability]; In the Matter of Spaith (Review Dept. 1996) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 511, 521 [where the attorney's stipulation to facts and culpability was held to be a mitigating circumstance].)

#### AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to standards are to this source.) The standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the

standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

The sanction for commingling is found in Standard 2.2(a) which states: "Actual suspension of three months is the presumed sanction for commingling or failure to promptly pay out entrusted funds."

To determine the appropriate level of discipline, consideration must be given to the aggravating and mitigating circumstances. In aggravation, respondent committed multiple violations. The factors in mitigation are respondent's discipline free record, no harm, and entering into a pretrial stipulation. The balance of these factors do not support a deviation from the Standards.

Case law also supports this level of discipline. In *Kelly v. State Bar* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 509, the Supreme Court was confronted with an attorney who deposited client funds into his general account, wrote a check on insufficient funds from his client trust account, and misappropriated \$750 from a client. The Court found that the misappropriation did not stem from deceit and that there was an absence of harm with regard to the insufficient check and the commingling. Given the above, as well as mitigation for thirteen years of practice without prior discipline, the Court ordered a three year stayed suspension and a three year probation with conditions including an actual suspension of 120 days.

Respondent's commingling is similar to the conduct in *Kelly*. However, the misconduct in *Kelly* also included misappropriation of funds and failure to promptly return client funds which is not present here. Factors in mitigation are roughly equivalent with respondent and the *Kelly* attorney both having discipline-free practices. On balance, given that misappropriation is not present and there is no failure to return fees, a level of discipline slightly less than that imposed in *Kelly* is appropriate. An adequate level of discipline to fulfill the purposes of attorney discipline is one year stayed suspension, two years' probation, and ninety days actual suspension including attendance at Client Trust Accounting school.

#### COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of April 5, 2018, the discipline costs in this matter are \$4,784. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

## **EXCLUSION FROM MINIMUM CONTINUING LEGAL EDUCATION ("MCLE") CREDIT**

Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of State Bar Ethics School and State Bar Client Trust Accounting School. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

# SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

4-18-2018 Date	Sout	Sara Eliot
Date 1	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
-    19   18 Date	Tiller Wargerles	Arthur Margolis
11 101 101	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
9-19-(y Date	9- Julium  Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Terese Laubscher Print Name

/Do not write a	hove this line \		
In the Matter of: SARA ELIOT		Case Number(s): 17-O-01680 17-O-03667	
	ACTUAL SU	ISPENSION ORDER	
Finding the requested d	stipulation to be fair to the parties and that smissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRAI	it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the NTED without prejudice, and:	
X	The stipulated facts and disposition are Supreme Court.	APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the	
	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.		
	All Hearing dates are vacated.		
within 15 day stipulation. (\$	s after service of this order, is granted; or See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure	nless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved a.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of	
May	3,2018	Cynthia Valenzuela	
Date ()	CY	NTHIA VALENZUELA	

Judge of the State Bar Court

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Court Specialist of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on May 3, 2018, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

ARTHUR LEWIS MARGOLIS MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS LLP 2000 RIVERSIDE DR LOS ANGELES, CA 90039

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

TERESE E. LAUBSCHER, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on May 3, 2018.

Mazie Yip

Court Specialist State Bar Court