



State Bar Court of California		
	Hearing Department	
	Los Angeles	
	ACTUAL SUSPENSION	UBLIC MATTER
Counsel for the State Bar	Case Number(s): 17-0-02374-CV,	For Court use only
Abrahim M. Bagheri Deputy Trial Counsel 845 S. Figueroa Street	18-O-11081 (inv)	п
Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 765-1216		FILED
Bar # 294113		JUL 18 2018
In Pro Per Respondent		STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
Robert Michael Salomon 2127 Olympic Pkwy Ste 1006-333 Chula Vista, CA 91915 (619) 702-7420		LOS ANGELES
	Submitted to: Assigned Jud	lge
Bar # 194660	STIPULATION RE FACTS, C DISPOSITION AND ORDER	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
In the Matter of: ROBERT MICHAEL SALOMON	DISPOSITION AND ORDER	APPROVING
	ACTUAL SUSPENSION	
Bar # 194660	☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATIO	N REJECTED
A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)		

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted March 30, 1998.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 21 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law."



(Do	not write above this line.)				
(6)	Th "S	ne par	ties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading rting Authority."		
(7)	No pe	o mor	e than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.		
(8)	Pa 61	ymer 40.7.	nt of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & It is recommended that (check one option only):		
		ar ju se	osts be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money dgment. Unless the time for payment of discipline costs is extended pursuant to subdivision (c) of ection 6086.10, costs assessed against a member who is actually suspended or disbarred must be paid a condition of reinstatement or return to active status.		
		ar ju	osts be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money dgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with Respondent's membership fees for each of the llowing years: 2019, 2020.		
		If St	Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the ate Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance will be due and payable immediately.		
	Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs."				
	Costs are entirely waived.				
Į.	Visc	rava ond ired	ting Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional uct, standards 1.2(h) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are		
(1)		Prio	r record of discipline:		
	(a)		State Bar Court case # of prior case:		
	(b)		Date prior discipline effective:		
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:		
	(d)		Degree of prior discipline:		
	(e)		If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.		
(2)		Intentional/Bad Faith/Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was dishonest, intentional, or surrounded by, or followed by bad faith.			
(3)		Misr	representation: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, misrepresentation.		
(4) (5)			cealment: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, concealment. rreaching: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, overreaching.		
(0)		~ + GI			

(Do	not wri	ite above this line.)
(6)		Uncharged Violations: Respondent's conduct involves uncharged violations of the Business and Professions Code, or the Rules of Professional Conduct.
(7)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
(8)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public, or the administration of justice. (See page 18.)
(9)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of Respondent's misconduct.
(10)		Candor/Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of Respondent's misconduct, or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations or proceedings.
(11)	\boxtimes	Multiple Acts: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing. (See page 18.)
(12)		Pattern: Respondent's current misconduct demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(13)		Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution.
(14)		Vulnerable Victim: The victim(s) of Respondent's misconduct was/were highly vulnerable.
(15)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Add	itiona	al aggravating circumstances:
		ating Circumstances [Standards 1.2(i) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating imstances are required.
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not likely to recur.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of Respondent's misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations and proceedings.
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps demonstrating spontaneous remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of Respondent's misconduct.
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced Respondent.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and objectively reasonable.

(Do r	not writ	e above this line.)
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by Respondent, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond Respondent's control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in Respondent's personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of Respondent's misconduct.
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.
Addi	tiona	Il mitigating circumstances:
		Prior Record of Discipline, see pages 18-19. filing Stipulation, see page 19.
D. R	leco	mmended Discipline:
(1)	\boxtimes	Actual Suspension:
		Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for one year , the execution of that suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year with the following conditions.
		 Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of the period of Respondent's probation.
(2)		Actual Suspension "And Until" Rehabilitation:
		Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for , the execution of that suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for with the following conditions.
		 Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first Respondent's probation and until Respondent provides proof to the State Bar Court of Respondent's rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
(3)		Actual Suspension "And Until" Restitution (Single Payee) and Rehabilitation:
		Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for , the execution of that suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for with the following conditions.
		 Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first of Respondent's probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until both of the following requirements are satisfied:

(Do	not writ	e above this	line.)		
		a. b.	Fund to such payee, in accordance with furnishes satisfactory proof to the State	ent Security Fund to the extended in the extended in the Business and Profession is Bar's Office of Probation is Bar Court of Respondent'ty in the general law. (Rule	n Los Angeles; and s rehabilitation, fitness to es Proc. of State Bar,
(4)		Actual	Suspension "And Until" Restitution (Multiple Payees) and Rel	nabilitation:
			dent is suspended from the practice of I spondent is placed on probation for		n of that suspension is stayed tions.
		Res	spondent must be suspended from the p spondent's probation, and Respondent v uirements are satisfied:	ractice of law for a minimu vill remain suspended until	m of the first of both of the following
			Respondent must make restitution, incliver (and furnish satisfactory proof of stollowing payees (or reimburse the Clier Fund to such payee in accordance with	uch restitution to the Office nt Security Fund to the exte	of Probation), to each of the ent of any payment from the
			Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From
		-			
			***************************************		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			Respondent provides proof to the State practice, and present learning and ability Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Miscor	y in the general law. (Rule	rehabilitation, fitness to s Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV,
(5)		Actual S Require	Suspension "And Until" Restitution (S ment:	Single Payee) with Condit	ional Std. 1.2(c)(1)
			dent is suspended from the practice of la pondent is placed on probation for	aw for , the execution with the following conditi	n of that suspension is stayed, ons.
		Respective Respec	condent must be suspended from the propondent's probation, and Respondent wified:	actice of law for a minimun	n for the first of the following requirements are

(Effective July 1, 2018)

plus 10 percent interest per

in the amount of \$

Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and

b. If Respondent remains suspended for two years or longer, Respondent must provide proof to the State Bar Court of Respondent's rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and ability

furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and,

(or reimburses the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the

a. Respondent makes restitution to

year from

(Do r	not writ	e above	this line.)		
		ä	in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)	e Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty	. Sanctions for Prof.
(6)			ual Suspension "And Until" Restitution (Nuirement:	Multiple Payees) with Co	nditional Std. 1.2(c)(1)
		Res	pondent is suspended from the practice of la Respondent is placed on probation for	aw for , the executio with the following condit	n of that suspension is stayed, tions.
			Respondent must be suspended from the pr Respondent's probation, and Respondent w satisfied:		
			a. Respondent must make restitution, incluyear (and furnish satisfactory proof of sufollowing payees (or reimburse the Clien Fund to such payee in accordance with	uch restitution to the Office nt Security Fund to the exte	of Probation), to each of the ent of any payment from the
			Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From
]			
		}			
		}			
		+			
		ŀ			
		- [
		Ł			
		1	 If Respondent remains suspended for tw State Bar Court of Respondent's rehabili in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).) 	itation, fitness to practice,	and present learning and ability
(7)		Actu	al Suspension with Credit for Interim Sus	spension:	
(,,	_	Resp	ondent is suspended from the practice of la Respondent is placed on probation for	· ·	n of that suspension is stayed, ions.
			Respondent is suspended from the practice or the period of interim suspension which co		f probation (with credit given
E. A	dditi	onal	Conditions of Probation:		
(1)		order i Condu 6103 tl compli	w Rules of Professional Conduct: Within a mposing discipline in this matter, Responder ct (Rules of Professional Conduct) and Businrough 6126, and (2) provide a declaration, ance with this requirement, to the State Bariespondent's first quarterly report.	nt must (1) read the Califo iness and Professions Coo under penalty of perjury, a	rnia Rules of Professional de sections 6067, 6068, and attesting to Respondent's

- (2) Comply with State Bar Act, Rules of Professional Conduct, and Probation Conditions: Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of Respondent's probation.
- (3) Maintain Valid Official Membership Address and Other Required Contact Information: Within 30 days after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter, Respondent must make certain that the State Bar Attorney Regulation and Consumer Resources Office (ARCR) has Respondent's current office address, email address, and telephone number. If Respondent does not maintain an office, Respondent must provide the mailing address, email address, and telephone number to be used for State Bar purposes. Respondent must report, in writing, any change in the above information to ARCR, within ten (10) days after such change, in the manner required by that office.
- (4) Meet and Cooperate with Office of Probation: Within 15 days after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter, Respondent must schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation case specialist to discuss the terms and conditions of Respondent's discipline and, within 30 days after the effective date of the court's order, must participate in such meeting. Unless otherwise instructed by the Office of Probation, Respondent may meet with the probation case specialist in person or by telephone. During the probation period, Respondent must promptly meet with representatives of the Office of Probation as requested by it and, subject to the assertion of applicable privileges, must fully, promptly, and truthfully answer any inquiries by it and provide to it any other information requested by it.
- (5) State Bar Court Retains Jurisdiction/Appear Before and Cooperate with State Bar Court: During Respondent's probation period, the State Bar Court retains jurisdiction over Respondent to address issues concerning compliance with probation conditions. During this period, Respondent must appear before the State Bar Court as required by the court or by the Office of Probation after written notice mailed to Respondent's official membership address, as provided above. Subject to the assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must fully, promptly, and truthfully answer any inquiries by the court and must provide any other information the court requests.
- (6) Quarterly and Final Reports:
 - a. Deadlines for Reports. Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation no later than each January 10 (covering October 1 through December 31 of the prior year), April 10 (covering January 1 through March 31), July 10 (covering April 1 through June 30), and October 10 (covering July 1 through September 30) within the period of probation. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date and cover the extended deadline. In addition to all quarterly reports, Respondent must submit a final report no earlier than ten (10) days before the last day of the probation period.
 - b. Contents of Reports. Respondent must answer, under penalty of perjury, all inquiries contained in the quarterly report form provided by the Office of Probation, including stating whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act and the Rules of Professional Conduct during the applicable quarter or period. All reports must be: (1) submitted on the form provided by the Office of Probation; (2) signed and dated after the completion of the period for which the report is being submitted (except for the final report); (3) filled out completely and signed under penalty of perjury; and (4) submitted to the Office of Probation on or before each report's due date.
 - c. Submission of Reports. All reports must be submitted by: (1) fax or email to the Office of Probation; (2) personal delivery to the Office of Probation; (3) certified mail, return receipt requested, to the Office of Probation (postmarked on or before the due date); or (4) other tracked-service provider, such as Federal Express or United Parcel Service, etc. (physically delivered to such provider on or before the due date).
 - d. Proof of Compliance. Respondent is directed to maintain proof of Respondent's compliance with the above requirements for each such report for a minimum of one year after either the period of probation

or the period of Respondent's actual suspension has ended, whichever is longer. Respondent is required to present such proof upon request by the State Bar, the Office of Probation, or the State Bar Court.

- (7) State Bar Ethics School: Within one year after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter, Respondent must submit to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of the State Bar Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session. This requirement is separate from any Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) requirement, and Respondent will not receive MCLE credit for attending this session. If Respondent provides satisfactory evidence of completion of the Ethics School after the date of this stipulation but before the effective date of the Supreme Court's order in this matter, Respondent will nonetheless receive credit for such evidence toward Respondent's duty to comply with this condition.
- (8) State Bar Ethics School Not Recommended: It is not recommended that Respondent be ordered to attend the State Bar Ethics School because .
- (9) State Bar Client Trust Accounting School: Within one year after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter, Respondent must submit to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of the State Bar Client Trust Accounting School and passage of the test given at the end of that session. This requirement is separate from any Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) requirement, and Respondent will not receive MCLE credit for attending this session. If Respondent provides satisfactory evidence of completion of the Client Trust Accounting School after the date of this stipulation but before the effective date of the Supreme Court's order in this matter, Respondent will nonetheless receive credit for such evidence toward Respondent's duty to comply with this condition.
- (10) Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) Courses California Legal Ethics [Alternative to State Bar Ethics School for Out-of-State Residents]: Because Respondent resides outside of California, within after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter, Respondent must either submit to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of the State Bar Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session or, in the alternative, complete hours of California Minimum Continuing Legal Education-approved participatory activity in California legal ethics and provide proof of such completion to the Office of Probation. This requirement is separate from any MCLE requirement, and Respondent will not receive MCLE credit for this activity. If Respondent provides satisfactory evidence of completion of the Ethics School or the hours of legal education described above, completed after the date of this stipulation but before the effective date of the Supreme Court's order in this matter, Respondent will nonetheless receive credit for such evidence toward Respondent's duty to comply with this condition.
- Criminal Probation: Respondent must comply with all probation conditions imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must report such compliance under penalty of perjury in all quarterly and final reports submitted to the Office of Probation covering any portion of the period of the criminal probation. In each quarterly and final report, if Respondent has an assigned criminal probation officer, Respondent must provide the name and current contact information for that criminal probation officer. If the criminal probation was successfully completed during the period covered by a quarterly or final report, that fact must be reported by Respondent in such report and satisfactory evidence of such fact must be provided with it. If, at any time before or during the period of probation, Respondent's criminal probation is revoked, Respondent is sanctioned by the criminal court, or Respondent's status is otherwise changed due to any alleged violation of the criminal probation conditions by Respondent, Respondent must submit the criminal court records regarding any such action with Respondent's next quarterly or final report.
- (12) Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE): Within after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter, Respondent must complete hour(s) of California Minimum Continuing Legal Education-approved participatory activity in SELECT ONE and must provide proof of such completion to the Office of Probation. This requirement is separate from any MCLE requirement, and Respondent will not receive MCLE credit for this activity. If Respondent provides satisfactory evidence of completion of the hours of legal education described above, completed after the

(Do	not write	above this line.)
		date of this stipulation but before the effective date of the Supreme Court's order in this matter, Respondent will nonetheless receive credit for such evidence toward Respondent's duty to comply with this condition.
(13)		Other: Respondent must also comply with the following additional conditions of probation:
(14)		Proof of Compliance with Rule 9.20 Obligations: Respondent is directed to maintain, for a minimum of one year after commencement of probation, proof of compliance with the Supreme Court's order that Respondent comply with the requirements of California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, subdivisions (a) and (c). Such proof must include: the names and addresses of all individuals and entities to whom Respondent sent notification pursuant to rule 9.20; a copy of each notification letter sent to each recipient; the original receipt or postal authority tracking document for each notification sent; the originals of all returned receipts and notifications of non-delivery; and a copy of the completed compliance affidavit filed by Respondent with the State Bar Court. Respondent is required to present such proof upon request by the State Bar, the Office of Probation, or the State Bar Court.
(15)		The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
		☐ Substance Abuse Conditions
matt	er. At	of probation will commence on the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this the expiration of the probation period, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the tayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.
F. C	ther	Requirements Negotiated by the Parties (Not Probation Conditions):
(1)		Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination Within One Year or During Period of Actual Suspension: Respondent must take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners within one year after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter or during the period of Respondent's actual suspension, whichever is longer, and to provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) If Respondent provides satisfactory evidence of the taking and passage of the above examination after the date of this stipulation but before the effective date of the Supreme Court's order in this matter, Respondent will nonetheless receive credit for such evidence toward Respondent's duty to comply with this requirement.
(2)		Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination Requirement Not Recommended: It is not recommended that Respondent be ordered to take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination because
(3)		California Rules of Court, Rule 9.20: Respondent must comply with the requirements of California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.
		For purposes of compliance with rule 9.20(a), the operative date for identification of "clients being represented in pending matters" and others to be notified is the filing date of the Supreme Court order, not any later "effective" date of the order. (<i>Athearn v. State Bar</i> (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45.) Further, Respondent is required to file a rule 9.20(c) affidavit even if Respondent has no clients to notify on the date the Supreme Court filed its order in this proceeding. (<i>Powers v. State Bar</i> (1988) 44 Cal.3d 337, 341.) In addition to being punished as a crime or contempt, an attorney's failure to comply with rule 9.20

(Do 1	not write	above this line.)
		is, inter alia, cause for disbarment, suspension, revocation of any pending disciplinary probation, and denial of an application for reinstatement after disbarment. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).)
(4)		California Rules of Court, Rule 9.20 – Conditional Requirement: If Respondent remains suspended for 90 days or longer, Respondent must comply with the requirements of California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.
		For purposes of compliance with rule 9.20(a), the operative date for identification of "clients being represented in pending matters" and others to be notified is the filing date of the Supreme Court order, not any later "effective" date of the order. (Atheam v. State Bar (1982) 32 Cal.3d 38, 45.) Further, Respondent is required to file a rule 9.20(c) affidavit even if Respondent has no clients to notify on the date the Supreme Court filed its order in this proceeding. (Powers v. State Bar (1988) 44 Cal.3d 337, 341.) In addition to being punished as a crime or contempt, an attorney's failure to comply with rule 9.20 is, inter alia, cause for disbarment, suspension, revocation of any pending disciplinary probation, and denial of an application for reinstatement after disbarment. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.20(d).)
(5)		California Rules of Court, Rule 9.20, Requirement Not Recommended: It is not recommended that Respondent be ordered to comply with the requirements of California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, because .
(6)		Other Requirements: It is further recommended that Respondent be ordered to comply with the following additional requirements:

- 1	In the Matter of: ROBERT MICHAEL SALOMON	Case Number(s): 17-O-02374-CV 18-O-11081 (inv)
- 1		

Financial Conditions

(1) Restitution (Single Payee)

Within the first 9 months of probation/Reproval Conditions Period, Respondent must make restitution in the amount of \$\$1340, plus 10 percent interest per year from November 21, 2016, to Valentin Giroux or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court (or reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and must furnish satisfactory proof of restitution to the Office of Probation. [Such restitution may be made by partial payments or by a single lump sum payment during the period specified above.]

(2) Installment Restitution Payments (Single Payee)

In addition to the above deadline for completing restitution and for as long as the full amount of restitution remains unsatisfied, Respondent must make installment payments according to the following payment schedule:

Respondent must make monthly payments in the amount of \$ 200 to Valentin Giroux. The obligation to make such payments will commence 15 days after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter. Such payments will be due on the first (1st) day of each calendar month thereafter and be deemed delinquent if not submitted to such payee, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, within ten (10) days thereafter.

With each quarterly and final report, or as otherwise directed by the Office of Probation, Respondent must provide satisfactory proof of such installment payments to the Office of Probation.

(3) Restitution (Multiple Payees)

SELECT ONE

/Reproval Conditions Period, Respondent must make restitution, including the principal amount plus 10 percent interest per year (and furnish satisfactory proof of such restitution to the Office of Probation), to each of the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court (or reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5):

Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From

(Do not	write	e above this line.)	
(4)] In	stallment Restitution Payments (Multiple Paye	ees)
	In re	addition to the above deadline for completing resetitution according to the following schedule:	titution, Respondent must make installment payments of
		Payee	Minimum Payment Amount
	F		
	-		
	the or da ful Wi	e day of each calendar month thereafter an such other recipient as may be designated by the sys thereafter. The obligation to make installment I amount of restitution owed to that payee, including	directed by the Office of Probation, Respondent must
(5) 🗌	•	eporting re Proper Handling of Entrusted Client	
	Re	espondent must comply with the following reporting	g requirements:
	a.	If Respondent possessed client funds, property, required quarterly or final report, Respondent made by Respondent under penalty of perjury the	or securities at any time during the period covered by a ust submit with the report for that period a statement at:
		 Respondent handled all such client funds, pro of the Rules of Professional Conduct; and 	operty, and/or securities in compliance with rule 4-100
		ii. Respondent complied with the "Trust Account Bar Board of Trustees, pursuant to rule 4-100	t Record Keeping Standards" adopted by the State 0(C) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.
	b.		property, or securities during the entire period covered by tate under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the
(6)		porting re Proper Handling of Entrusted Client rtification – 1st Report)	Funds, Property, or Securities (Accountant
	Re	spondent must comply with the following reporting	g requirements:

- a. If Respondent possessed client funds, property, or securities at any time during the period covered by a required quarterly or final report, Respondent must submit with the report for that period a statement that:
 - Respondent handled all such client funds, property, and/or securities in compliance with rule 4-100 of the Rules of Professional Conduct; and
 - ii. Respondent complied with the "Trust Account Record Keeping Standards" adopted by the State Bar Board of Trustees, pursuant to rule 4-100(C) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

For the first period for which such statement is required, the statement must be from a certified public accountant or other financial professional approved by the Office of Probation. For all subsequent periods for which such statement is required, the statement may be made by Respondent under penalty of perjury.

b. If Respondent did not possess any client funds, property, or securities during the entire period covered by a quarterly or final report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period.

(7)	Other:

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

ROBERT MICHAEL SALOMON

CASE NUMBER:

17-O-02374-CV; 18-O-11081 (inv)

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 17-O-02374 (Complainant: Valentin Giroux)

FACTS:

- 1. On September 19, 2016, Valentin Giroux ("Mr. Giroux") hired respondent to represent him and his wife in an immigration matter to obtain a J-1 visa waiver ("waiver") of the two-year residency requirement for an agreed fee of \$2,240.
- 2. On September 30, 2016, Mr. Giroux emailed respondent the immigration documents respondent had requested to initiate the waiver process.
 - 3. Respondent did not confirm receipt of Mr. Giroux's September 30, 2016 email.
- 4. On October 19, 2016, Mr. Giroux emailed respondent to ask whether respondent received the documents Mr. Giroux had emailed him on September 30, 2016.
- 5. On October 31, 2016, respondent replied to Mr. Giroux, confirmed receipt of the documents, and notified him that the documents were sufficient for filing the waiver.
- 6. On November 1, 2016, Mr. Giroux mailed respondent a check in the amount of \$2,240 for respondent's services.
- 7. On November 11, 2016, Mr. Giroux emailed respondent to inquire whether respondent received the \$2,240 check.
 - 8. Respondent received the November 11, 2016 email, but did not respond to it.
- 9. On November 14, 2016, Mr. Giroux emailed respondent, informed him that he had called respondent and that respondent's phone number was not in service, and asked respondent to provide him with a working telephone number.
- 10. On November 15, 2016, respondent emailed Mr. Giroux to inform him that respondent was out of the country and that he would be available regularly for Mr. Giroux in the future.
 - 11. Respondent failed to provide Mr. Giroux with a working telephone number.

- 12. On November 15, 2016, Mr. Giroux sent an email to respondent in which he inquired about the status of his matter.
 - 13. Respondent received the November 15, 2016 email but did not respond to it.
- 14. On November 21, 2016, Mr. Giroux emailed respondent, terminated respondent's services, and requested a refund for the fees he had paid respondent.
- 15. Respondent received the November 21, 2016 email but did not respond to it, refund the fees paid by Mr. Giroux, provide him with an accounting, or inform him that respondent had already worked on Mr. Giroux's case for approximately three hours.
- 16. On December 5, 2016 and December 19, 2016, Mr. Giroux emailed respondent again and requested that he refund the fee Mr. Giroux paid him.
- 17. Respondent received the December 5, 2016 and December 19, 2016 emails, but did not respond to them or provide Mr. Giroux with a refund.
 - 18. On April 7, 2017, Mr. Giroux filed a State Bar complaint against respondent.
- 19. On June 6, 2017, the assigned State Bar investigator mailed an investigative letter to respondent requesting his response to Mr. Giroux's State Bar complaint by June 20, 2017.
 - 20. Respondent received the June 6, 2017 letter.
- 21. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar's June 6, 2017 investigative letter by June 20, 2017.
- 22. On June 22, 2017, the assigned State Bar investigator emailed respondent at his official State Bar membership records email address to inquire about respondent's failure to respond to the State Bar's June 6, 2017 investigative letter.
 - 23. Respondent received the June 22, 2017 email but did not respond to it.
- 24. On June 26, 2017, the State Bar investigator mailed respondent a second investigative letter to his official State Bar membership records address in which she asked respondent to respond to the State Bar's June 6, 2017 investigative letter and informed him that failure to respond to the State Bar investigative letters by July 10, 2017 could result in a violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(i).
 - 25. Respondent received the June 26, 2017 letter.
- 26. On July 26, 2017, respondent emailed the State Bar investigator a partial response to the June 6, 2017 and June 26, 2017 letters, including a partial accounting of the services he provided Mr. Giroux which reflected that respondent worked on Mr. Giroux's matter for three hours and that respondent owed Mr. Giroux \$1,340 in unearned fees.

- 27. On July 26, 2017, the State Bar investigator replied to respondent's email and requested that he provide a complete response to the June 6, 2017 and June 26, 2017 investigative letters, including a complete accounting reflecting any time respondent spent on Mr. Giroux's case.
 - 28. Respondent received the July 26, 2017 email.
- 29. On August 4, 2017, the State Bar investigator emailed respondent at his official State Bar membership records email address to request that he provide a complete response to the State Bar's June 6, 2017 and June 26, 2017 investigative letters.
- 30. On August 21, 2017, the State Bar investigator mailed a letter to respondent's official State Bar membership records address inquiring about respondent's failure to respond to the State Bar's June 6, 2017 and June 26, 2017 investigative letters and asked him to provide a written response to the letters by September 4, 2017.
 - 31. Respondent failed to respond to the August 21, 2017 letter.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- 32. By failing to obtain a J-1 visa waiver of the two-year residence requirement for Mr. Giroux and his wife, respondent intentionally failed to perform with competence, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).
- 33. By failing to promptly respond to emailed and telephonic reasonable status inquiries made by Mr. Giroux in a matter in which respondent had agreed to provide legal services, respondent willfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m).
- 34. By failing to render a prompt and appropriate accounting to Mr. Giroux regarding the advance fees paid to respondent after he was terminated, respondent willfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3).
- 35. By failing to refund \$1,340 of the \$2,240 in advanced fees Mr. Giroux paid him, that had not been earned, respondent willfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2).
- 36. By failing to provide a substantive response to the State Bar's investigative letters dated June 6, 2017, June 26, 2017, and August 21, 2017, which requested respondent's response to the allegations of misconduct being investigated in case no. 17-O-02374, respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against respondent and willfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i).

Case No. 18-O-11081 (Complainant: Sirkku Pollari)

FACTS:

37. On October 27, 2016, Sirkku Pollari ("Ms. Pollari") hired respondent to prepare and file a national interest waiver-based immigration petition ("petition"), file an application for adjustment of status ("application") and revise immigration letters in support of Ms. Pollari's petition for an agreed fee of \$5,000.

- 38. On November 17, 2016, Ms. Pollari mailed respondent a check in the amount of \$3,325 and respondent agreed to accept the remaining balance at a later time.
- 39. On January 21, 2017, Ms. Pollari emailed respondent two recommendation letters she had prepared for respondent's review and revision.
- 40. On January 23, 2017, respondent replied to Ms. Pollari's email and informed her that he would revise the letters and send them to her that week.
 - 41. Respondent failed to send Ms. Pollari the recommendation letters that week.
- 42. On February 15, 2017 and February 19, 2017, Ms. Pollari emailed respondent to inquire about the status of her case.
- 43. On February 23, 2017, respondent replied to Ms. Pollari and informed her that he would send her the revised recommendation letters by February 26, 2017.
 - 44. Respondent failed to send Ms. Pollari the recommendation letters by February 26, 2017.
 - 45. On March 28, 2017, Ms. Pollari emailed respondent to inquire about the status of her case.
- 46. On April 4, 2017, respondent replied to Ms. Pollari and explained that he would send her the recommendation letters by April 8, 2017.
 - 47. Respondent failed to send Ms. Pollari the recommendation letters by April 8, 2017.
 - 48. On April 17, 2017, Ms. Pollari emailed respondent to inquire about the status of her case.
- 49. On April 25, 2017, respondent replied to Ms. Pollari and informed her that he was finalizing the recommendation letters for her.
- 50. On May 2, 2017, respondent emailed the recommendation letters and immigration petition to Ms. Pollari.
- 51. On May 3, 2017, Ms. Pollari emailed respondent to request revisions to the recommendation letters.
 - 52. Respondent failed to respond to Ms. Pollari's May 3, 2017 email.
- 53. On June 14, 2017, June 15, 2017, June 16, 2017, September 8, 2017, September 11, 2017, September 18, 2017 and October 9, 2017, Ms. Pollari called respondent to inquire about the status of her case.
 - 54. Respondent failed to respond to Ms. Pollari's telephonic status inquiries.
- 55. On June 7, 2017, June 12, 2017, June 27, 2017, September 17, 2017, and October 9, 2017, Ms. Pollari emailed respondent to inquire about the status of her case.
 - 56. Respondent failed to respond to Ms. Pollari's emailed status inquiries.

- 57. On September 1, 2017, the State Bar placed respondent on administrative inactive status for failure to complete his Minimum Continuing Legal Education ("MCLE") requirements.
- 58. Respondent failed to inform Ms. Pollari that he was ineligible to practice law and unable to continue representing her.
 - 59. On December 20, 2017, Ms. Pollari requested a refund for the fees she had paid respondent.
- 60. On December 20, 2017, respondent emailed Ms. Pollari and informed her that he had completed the majority of her case.
- 61. In his December 20, 2017 email to Ms. Pollari, respondent failed to provide her with an accounting showing he had earned the \$3,325 she had paid him.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- 62. By failing to perform services to completion, including failing to fully prepare and file a national interest waiver-based immigration petition ("petition"), failing to file an application for adjustment of status ("application"), and failing to revise immigration letters in support of Ms. Pollari's immigration petition, respondent recklessly failed to perform with competence, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).
- 63. By failing to promptly respond to emailed and telephonic reasonable status inquiries made by Ms. Pollari in a matter in which respondent had agreed to provide legal services, respondent willfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m).
- 64. By failing to inform Ms. Pollari that he was ineligible to practice law and that he was unable to continue representing her, respondent willfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m).
- 65. By failing to render an accounting to Ms. Pollari regarding the advance fees paid to him, after being terminated by Ms. Pollari, respondent willfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3).

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Multiple Acts of Misconduct (See Std 1.5(b)): Respondent committed nine acts of misconduct across two client matters.

Significant Harm to Client (See Std 1.5(j)): Respondent's failure to provide a refund to Mr. Giroux caused him financial harm. Respondent's failure to perform with competence in both client matters deprived his clients of the ability to pursue their desired immigration status in the United States.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

No Prior Record of Discipline. Respondent has been a member of the State Bar since March 30, 1998. Although respondent's misconduct is serious, he is entitled to mitigation for having practiced law for 18 years without a prior record of discipline. (In the Matter of Riordan (Review Dept. 2007) 5

Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 41, 49. [more than 17 years of practice without prior discipline was a significant mitigating factor despite attorney's serious misconduct].)

Pretrial Stipulation: By entering into this stipulation, respondent has acknowledged his misconduct and is entitled to mitigation for recognition of wrongdoing and saving the State Bar significant resources and time. (*Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts, and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

Std. 1.7(a) requires that the most severe sanction be imposed when a member has committed two or more acts of misconduct for which different sanctions are specified by the Standards. The most severe sanction applicable to respondent's misconduct is found in Standard 2.7(c) which provides that actual suspension or reproval is the presumed sanction for performance, communication, or withdrawal violations, which are limited in scope or time. The degree of sanction depends on the extent of the misconduct and the degree of harm to the client or clients. Here, the extent of the misconduct and harm respondent caused is great as respondent failed to refund a client for over 18 months, failed to cooperate in a State Bar investigation for over eight months, failed to respond to thirteen inquiries from a client for months at a time, and deprived two vulnerable clients the ability to achieve their desired immigration status in the United States.

While respondent's conduct is mitigated by 18 years of a discipline free practice at the time the misconduct began and by a pretrial stipulation, it is significantly aggravated by the multiple acts of

misconduct in two client matters and the significant harm he caused to his clients when he deprived their ability to obtain their desired immigration status. On balance, the aggravation outweighs the mitigation. Given the gravity of the harm to his clients and his extensive misconduct, a 60-day actual suspension is the appropriate level of discipline.

Case law is in accord. In *Bach .v State Bar* (1991) 52 Cal.3d 1201, an attorney with no prior discipline represented a client in a single matter in which he failed to perform competently, failed to communicate, failed to properly withdraw, failed to refund unearned fees, and failed to respond to written inquiries from a State Bar investigator regarding the matter. The Supreme Court imposed discipline consisting of one year of probation with various terms and conditions including 30 days of actual suspension.

Like Bach, respondent failed to perform competently, failed to communicate with his clients, failed to cooperate with the State Bar's investigation into his misconduct, and failed to refund unearned fees. However, respondent committed misconduct in two client matters, whereas Bach only committed misconduct in a single client matter. Moreover, unlike Bach, respondent failed to provide two clients with an accounting in their matters and failed to notify one client that respondent's attorney membership status was inactive. Therefore, respondent's misconduct is more aggravated than Bach's misconduct.

On balance, and in light of the aggravating and mitigating factors, a 60-day actual suspension, on the terms and conditions set forth herein, is appropriate to protect the public, the courts, and the legal profession, maintain high professional standards by attorneys, and preserve public confidence in the legal profession.

WAIVER OF VARIANCE BETWEEN NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES AND STIPULATED FACTS AND CULPABILITY.

The parties waive any discrepancy between the Notice of Disciplinary Charges filed in this matter and the factual statements and conclusions of law set forth in this stipulation.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of July 3, 2018, the discipline costs in this matter are approximately \$3,758. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

EXCLUSION FROM MINIMUM CONTINUING LEGAL EDUCATION ("MCLE") CREDIT

Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of: State Bar Ethics School or State Bar Client Trust Accounting School ordered as a condition of his suspension. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

In the Matter of: ROBERT MICHAEL SALOMON	Case Number(s): 17-O-02374-DFM 18-O-11081 (inv)

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

07/12/2018 Date	Respondent's Signature	Robert Michael Salomon Print Name
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
7/13/2016 Date	Deputy Hal Counsel's Signature	Abrahim Bagheri Print Name

In the Matter of: ROBERT MICHAEL SALOMON	Case Number(s): 17-O-02374 18-O-11081 (inv)	

	ACTUAL SUSPENSION ORDER
Finding the s requested di	stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the smissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:
	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
\boxtimes	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
	All Hearing dates are vacated.
On page 2 of place is inser	f the stipulation, paragraph A.(8), in the option marked with an "X", "2019, 2020" is deleted, and in its rted "2020, 2021".
The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)	
July Date (18 2018 CYNTHIA VALENZUELA Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Court Specialist of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on July 18, 2018, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

ROBERT M. SALOMON LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT M. SALOMON 2127 OLYMPIC PKWY STE 1006-333 CHULA VISTA, CA 91915

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ABRAHIM M. BAGHERI, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on July 18, 2018.

Paul Songco Court Specialist State Bar Court